

**ARB-502-2024****-1-**

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

256**ARB-502-2024****Date of Decision: 17.07.2025****Rajesh Kumar Contractor****...Applicant**

Versus

State of Haryana and others**...Respondents****CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAGMOHAN BANSAL**

Present: - Ms. Veena Hooda, Advocate for the applicant

Mr. Raman Sharma, Additional Advocate General, Haryana

JAGMOHAN BANSAL, J. (Oral)

1. Through instant application under Section 11(6) of the Arbitration and Conciliation Act, 1996 (for short '**1996 Act**'), the applicant is seeking appointment of an Arbitrator.

2. The applicant was allotted work by the respondent vide letter dated 27.05.2019 (Annexure P-1). A dispute erupted between the parties. There is an arbitration clause in terms and conditions of the contract. The applicant served notice upon the respondent seeking resolution of dispute through Arbitral Tribunal but to no avail.

3. Learned State counsel submits that respondent has already cleared dues of the applicant. There is no dispute between the parties. The applicant is trying to drag them to unnecessary litigation.

4. The issue raised by the applicant needs to be adjudicated by Arbitral Tribunal.



5. Conditions to invoke power conferred by Section 11(6) of 1996 Act stand satisfied, thus, I hereby appoint a Sole Arbitrator to adjudicate the dispute between the parties.

6. Mr. Lal Chand, Additional District & Sessions Judge (Retd.), residing at House No.2458, Sector 23-C, Chandigarh, Mobile No.9988523522 is hereby appointed as a Sole Arbitrator to adjudicate the dispute between the parties, subject to compliance of statutory requirements. The learned Arbitrator is requested to comply with mandate of Section 12 of 1996 Act before proceeding further.

7. The parties at the first instance will appear before the Arbitrator on 01.08.2025 at 10:00 AM and thereafter, as directed by learned Arbitrator.

8. The Arbitrator shall be paid fee in accordance with the Fourth Schedule of the 1996 Act, as amended.

9. The Arbitrator is requested to complete the proceedings as per time limit specified under Section 29-A of the 1996 Act.

10. Needless to mention, parties would be at liberty to raise all the claims/defences/counter claims/pleas before the Arbitrator. Any observation made hereinabove will not be binding on the learned Arbitrator.

11. A request letter along with copy of this order be sent to Mr. Lal Chand.

(JAGMOHAN BANSAL)
JUDGE

17.07.2025
Mohit Kumar

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No