



CRWP-9311-2025

1

116

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRWP-9311-2025

Date of decision: 27.08.2025

SALONI AND ANOTHER

....Petitioners

Versus

UT OF CHANDIGARH AND OTHERS

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE **SUBHAS MEHLA**

Present: Mr. Nimish Gautam, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Virat Rana, Additional Public Prosecutor, for U.T.,
Chandigarh.

SUBHAS MEHLA, J (Oral):

1. The prayer in the instant criminal writ petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India is for the issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus directing respondents No.2 and 3 to take appropriate action against respondents No.4 to 11 who are harassing and threatening the petitioners by interfering in their peaceful life and liberty.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners contended that petitioners are major and solemnized marriage on 20.08.2025 and are living together but the family members of petitioner No.1 are not happy with their marriage and they have threat perception from respondents No.4 to 11.

3. On advance notice, Mr. Virat Rana, Additional Public Prosecutor, for U.T., Chandigarh, accepted notice on behalf of U.T, Chandigarh and submitted that a statement has been given by petitioner No.1 before the police today itself to the effect that there is no threat to their life and liberty and out of her own sweet will, she is residing with petitioner No.2. A copy of her statement is taken on record.

**CRWP-9311-2025****2**

4. Keeping in view the statement made on behalf of learned counsel appearing for U.T. Chandigarh and in view of the statement made by petitioner No.1, nothing survives in this petition.

5. Disposed of.

(SUBHAS MEHLA)
JUDGE

27.08.2025

monika

1. Whether speaking/ reasoned	:	Yes /No
2. Whether reportable	:	Yes /No