


217 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH



CRM-M-47470-2025 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 04.12.2025

RIZWAN ALIAS RIJJU ... PETITIONER
VERSUS
STATE OF HARYANA ... RESPONDENT

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE H.S.GREWAL

Present:- Mr. Khalid Tauru, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Ashwani Bhatia, AAG Haryana.

H.S. GREWAL, J. (ORAL)

1. The petitioner is seeking regular bail under Section 483 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita (BNSS) in FIR No.428 dated 19.10.2023, under Sections 379-A, 506, 34 IPC registered at Police Station Sadar Tauru, District Nuh.
2. The case of the prosecution is that petitioner along with his co-accused had looted Rs. 6000/- from the complainant along with his mobile phone, driving licence and ATM card at knife point and later used it to withdraw Rs.1,80,000/- from the account of the complainant. It has been further alleged that the petitioner had purchased a mobile phone worth Rs.65,000/- which was recovered from the petitioner.
3. A custody certificate dated 03.12.2025 filed by the State counsel has been taken on record.
4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has undergone actual custody for a period of 06 months and 16 days. Though, he is involved in one more case but he has been acquitted in the same.

5. Learned State counsel vehemently opposes the prayer for grant of regular bail to the petitioner on the ground of gravity of allegations. As per the custody certificate, the petitioner has undergone actual custody for a period of 06 months and 16 days.

6. I have heard the learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.

7. The petitioner has undergone actual custody for a period of 06 months and 16 days. Though, he is involved in one more case but he is stated to be acquitted in that case. The trial of the case is likely to take a long time and continuous detention of the petitioner would not serve the ends of justice. Keeping in view the facts and circumstances of the present case, this Court deems it a fit case to grant the concession of regular bail to the petitioner during the pendency of the trial.

8. Therefore, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, the instant petition is allowed. The petitioner is ordered to be released on regular bail on his furnishing requisite bail bonds, surety bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court/Duty Magistrate/Chief Judicial Magistrate concerned.

9. It is clarified that if on bail so granted through the instant order the petitioner is found indulging in any other criminal case, it shall be open to the State to seek cancellation of his bail.

04.12.2025

Janki

(H.S.GREWAL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No