

2025:PHHC:134295



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

282

CRM-M-47488-2025 (O & M)
Date of decision: 25.09.2025

RAMESH KUMAR SHARMA

....Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMAN CHAUDHARY

Present : Mr. A.D.S.Sukhija, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Parmod Kumar, AAG, Haryana.

AMAN CHAUDHARY, J. (ORAL)

1. The present petition has been filed under Section 482 BNSS, 2023 for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in case FIR No.450 dated 22.07.2025, under Sections 120-B, 406 and 420 IPC, registered at Police Station Sector 32-33, District Karnal.

2. On 28.08.2025, this Court had passed the following order:-

“Learned counsel submits that the petitioner was a GPA holder of Gulab Singh with regard to Khewat No.6 in village Phoosgarh, which he sold in parts to various people including one Ajay Kumar on 31.12.2018, who further sold it to Amarjeet Kaur on 29.05.2019 and on 06.03.2025, Amarjeet Kaur in favour of Gurnam Singh. He states that in the initial sale deed executed by him, the land is shown without there being any number either therein or in the revenue record. The dispute has arisen only on account of the fact that Ajay Kumar to whom he had sold as mentioned the land to be bearing House No.423 Gali No.6, Vikas Nagar, Phoosgarh in Khewat No.14. He is not named in the FIR while the aforesaid persons are by the

complainant-Gurnam Singh who alleges that one Nirmala Devi as a matter of fact owner in possession of House No.423, Gali No.6 and revenue record. No recovery is to be effected from the petitioner. He is ready and willing to join the investigation as and when required by the investigating agency and will cooperate.

Notice of motion.

At the asking of the Court, Mr. BS Saroha, DAG, Haryana, accepts notice on behalf of respondent-State.

Meanwhile, the petitioner is directed to join the investigation on or before 09.09.2025. In the event of his arrest, he shall be released on interim bail to the satisfaction of the Arresting Officer, subject to compliance of conditions as enshrined under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

However, it is clarified that if the petitioner does not join and cooperate with the Investigating Agency as required by the Arresting/Investigating Officer, this interim order shall be deemed to have been vacated.

Adjourned to 25.09.2025.”

3. Learned counsel submits that in pursuance of the aforementioned order, the petitioner has not only joined investigation but also fully cooperated with the investigating agency. He further submits that in case the investigating agency requires the petitioner to appear, he shall make himself available without demur.
4. Learned State counsel on instructions from ASI Jagdev affirms the factum of joining the investigation by the petitioner and cooperating with the investigating agency. He also submits that at this stage, the petitioner is not required for further custodial interrogation.
5. In view of the above and without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, anticipatory bail petition filed by the petitioner is allowed and the order dated 28.08.2025 granting interim bail to him, is hereby made absolute, subject to compliance of conditions as specified under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

6. However, it is made clear that if the petitioner fails to join and cooperate with the investigating agency as and when required, the State would be at liberty to move an application for cancellation of the present anticipatory bail granted to him.

25.09.2025

parveen kumar

(AMAN CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes / No

Whether reportable : Yes / No