



**136 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-48041-2025
Date of decision: 01.09.2025

GURDEEP SINGH **....Petitioner**

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS ...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUBHAS MEHLA

Present: Mr. Rohit Joshi, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Subhash Godara, Addl. A.G. Punjab.

Mr. Shivam Vashisht, Advocate for respondents No.2 and 3.

SUBHAS MEHLA, J (Oral):

1. Present petition has been filed by petitioner under Section 528 of BNSS, 2023 for quashing of FIR No.191, dated 25.08.2022, under Sections 406, 420 and 120-B of IPC and Section 13 of Punjab Travel Professional Act, 2014 registered at Police Station City Kapurthala, District Kapurthala (Annexure P-1) and all subsequent proceedings arising therefrom on the basis of compromise deed dated 14.06.2025 (Annexure P-2).

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner contended that in the present case, the proceedings qua co-accused namely Vikram has already been quashed vide order dated 18.04.2023 (Annexure P-3) passed in CRM-M-55654-2024 by this Court and the main allegation is against co-accused Vikram and the allegations against the present petitioner is that main co-accused Vikram had deposited some money taken from the complainant in his account and now a compromise has been effected with the petitioner and



the complainant vide compromise deed dated 14.06.2025 (Annexure P-2) and same is notarized and attested by Notary Public in the presence of witness/respondent No.2- Renu @ Renu Bala.

3. On advance notice, Mr. Subhash Godara, Addl. A.G. Punjab, appeared on behalf of State of Punjab and submitted that in the present matter, the FIR qua main accused Vikram has already been quashed vide order dated 18.04.2023 (Annexure P-3) passed in CRM-M-55654-2024 by this Court and present petitioner has not been sent to trial as investigation has not been concluded.

4. Mr. Shivam Vashisht, Advocate has appeared and filed Vakalatnama on behalf of respondents No.2 and 3. The same is taken on record. Learned counsel confirmed the factum of compromise.

5. It is evident that in view of the amicable settlement of the dispute between the petitioner and the complainant, no useful purpose would be served by continuation of the proceedings. The main allegations are against co-accused Vikram and FIR registered against him has already been quashed. The furtherance of proceedings is likely to be a waste of judicial time.

8. In view of above, FIR No.191, dated 25.08.2022, under Sections 406, 420 and 120-B of IPC and Section 13 of Punjab Travel Professional Act, 2014 registered at Police Station City Kapurthala, District Kapurthala (Annexure P-1), with all the subsequent proceedings arising therefrom, is quashed qua the petitioner, on the basis of compromise deed dated 14.06.2025 (Annexure P-2).

(SUBHAS MEHLA)
JUDGE

01.09.2025
monika

1. Whether speaking/ reasoned	:	Yes /No
2. Whether reportable	:	Yes /No