



239-2

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRWP-9765-2024
DECIDED ON: 11.02.2025**

PUNEET DHANDA AND ANOTHER

.....PETITIONERS

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

.....RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANDEEP MOUDGIL

Present: Mr. Vishal Gupta, Advocate
for the petitioners

Mr. Jasjit Singh Rattu, DAG Punjab.

Mr. Aashish Soi, Advocate for respondent No.7.

SANDEEP MOUDGIL, J (ORAL)

The jurisdiction of this Court has been invoked under Article 226 of the Constitution of India for issuance of appropriate writ; order or direction especially in the nature of mandamus, directing the respondents to protect the life and liberty of the petitioners and not to harass the petitioners on account of the monetary dispute between respondents no.6 & 7 as the petitioner has got no concern with the same with a further prayer that in case the petitioner is required in the investigation, then the petitioner may kindly be served notice under Section 179 of BNSS by the official respondents and further not to take any coercive action against the petitioners on the basis of the same and to give 7 days prior notice to the petitioners in case the petitioners are require for investigation or inquiry in respect of the complaint as lodged by respondent no.7 against respondent no.6.

However, without commenting upon the merits of the case, the Senior Superintendent of Police, Fatehgarh Sahib is directed to look into the representation dated 24.09.2024 (Annexure P-5) and decide the same alongwith CRWP-8628-2024, by passing a speaking order within a period of four weeks from today.

A copy of order so passed be supplied to the petitioner within one week thereafter.

The petition stands disposed off in the aforesaid terms.

(SANDEEP MOUDGIL)
JUDGE

11.02.2025

Meenu

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No