



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

236 (2 cases)

CWP-23916-2023 (O&M)

PAWANJOT KAUR

..... Petitioner

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

..... Respondents

2.

CWP-24684-2023 (O&M)

Date of decision : 20.11.2025

AIENA ARORA AND ANOTHER

..... Petitioners

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPINDER SINGH NALWA

Present :- Mr. Hakam Singh, Advocate,
Ms. Amrita Negi, Advocate and
Mr. Ajay Singh Virk, Advocate
for the petitioners in both cases.

Mr. Satnam Preet Singh, DAG, Punjab.

Deepinder Singh Nalwa, J. (Oral)

1. In the present writ petition, the petitioner is claiming salary and other benefits that have been granted to similarly situated persons i.e. pay, allowances, promotions and other entitlements etc.

2. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of respondents-State submits that, in fact, the case of petitioner was considered vide order dated 14.08.2025 and the necessary relief has been granted to the petitioner.



CWP-23916-2023 and CWP-24684-2023 :2:

3. In view of above, learned counsel for the petitioner does not want to press the present writ petition, however, prays for liberty to challenge the order dated 14.08.2025, in case the petitioner is aggrieved against the above-said order.
4. In view of the above, the present writ petitions are disposed of as not pressed with liberty as prayed for.
5. Pending application(s), if any, shall also stand(s) disposed of accordingly.

(DEEPINDER SINGH NALWA)
JUDGE

20.11.2025
Rimpal

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable :	Yes/No