



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-10865-2025 in/and
CRM-M-48834-2019 (O&M)
Date of decision: 21.03.2025**

Rohan Sahni

...Petitioner

Versus

UT of Chandigarh and another

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU

Present: Mr. Sunil Kumar Tandon, Advocate (through V.C.)
for the applicant-petitioner.

Mr. Anil Kumar Lamdharia, Addl. P.P. UT, Chandigarh
for respondent No.1.

None for respondent No. 2.

MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU, J.

CRM-10865-2025

Application under Section 528 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 for withdrawal of main petition in view of the fact that applicant-petitioner is acquitted by learned trial Court on 18.12.2024 passed by learned Judicial Magistrate First Class, Chandigarh.

Notice of the application to non-applicant/respondent.

Mr. Anil Kumar Lamdharia, learned Addl.P.P. UT, Chandigarh accepts notice on behalf of non-applicant-respondent No.1 and raises no objection to the prayer made by applicant-petitioner.

In view of the above and for the reasons mentioned in the application, same is allowed as prayed for subject to all just exceptions.

As a result thereof, main petition, which is fixed for hearing on 17.09.2025, is ordered to be taken up on Board today itself.



Main case

Present petition has been filed under Section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 for quashing of charge-sheet in FIR No. 64 dated 11.04.2017 (P-1), under Sections 147, 186, 332, 353, 148 read with Section 149 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 and Section 3 of Prevention of Damage to Public Property Act, 1984, registered at Police Station-11, Union Territory, Chandigarh.

2. Learned counsel for petitioner has produced copy of judgment dated 18.12.2024 passed by learned Judicial Magistrate First Class, Chandigarh which is taken on record as Mark 'X'. Registry to tag the same at appropriate place.

3. Perusal of the aforesaid judgment reveals that petitioner stands acquitted.

4. Learned State counsel acknowledged the above factual position.

5. In view of the above, learned counsel, on instructions from petitioner, submits that present petition be disposed off as having been rendered infructuous.

6. Ordered accordingly.

7. Needless to say that above observations be not construed as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case, in any manner.

Pending application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed off.

21.03.2025
Harish Kumar

(MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No