

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

2025 PHHC 176128



(150)

CRM-M-48119-2025

Leela Singh

.....Petitioner(s)

Versus

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondent(s)

Decided on : 19.12.2025

Date of uploading: 19.12.2025

CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE SUMEET GOEL

Present: Ms. Anju Arora, Advocate for the petitioner (s).

Mr. Adhiraj Singh, AAG, Punjab.

Sumeet Goel (Oral):

1. The substantive prayer made in the instant petition reads thus:

“It is, therefore, respectfully prayed that:-

i. Directions be issued to the respondents no. 1 to 3 to investigate the FIR No. 36 dated 16.06.2025 under Section 127 (2) of BNSS registered at Police Station Sadar Budhlada, District Mansa properly.

ii. And to release Kamaldeep Kaur D/o Leela Singh (i.e. daughter of petitioner), who has been taken into illegal custody by respondent no.4 to 7 in collusion with each other since 10.05.2025 to some undisclosed place or they had done away with her life and her whereabouts are not known and her life and liberty may kindly be protected, SO that the daughter of the petitioner be released from the illegal custody of the respondents no.4 to 7.”

2. Upon being called upon, the State has filed status report by way of affidavit of Senior Superintendent of Police, Mansa dated 01.12.2025, relevant whereof reads thus:-

“15. That further during investigation there was addition of offence under section 238 BNS vide DDR no. 41 dated 26.11.2025 due to the fact that accused Balwinder Singh has destroyed the dead body of Kamaldeep Kaur after killing her and further destroyed the mobile

phone of Kamaldeep Kaur by throwing the phone in dirty drain. On 27.11.2025 the accused Balwinder Singh was sent to District Jail Mansa under Judicial Custody after its production before the learned Court.

16. That the above registered case is still under investigation and all possible efforts are made by the police officials to find the dead body of the daughter of the petitioner. That soon after the completion of investigation the chargesheet would be presented against the respondent no. 4 before the learned Trial Court.”

3. Having heard learned counsel for the rival parties and upon perusal of the record, this Court does not find any good cause for keeping the instant petition alive and the same is disposed of, as the status report filed by the State appears to be reflecting sufficient action having been taken at the end of the police.

4. Disposed of.

December 19, 2025
Naveen

(SUMEET GOEL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes/No
Whether Reportable :	Yes/No