

1

113

CRWP-9248-2025

Date of decision: 26.08.2025

KHUSHI DEVI AND ANOTHER

...Petitioners

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUBHAS MEHLA

Present: Mr. Pardeep Sihmar, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Karan Veer Singh, Sr.DAG, Haryana.

SUBHAS MEHLA, J (Oral):

1. Petitioners have filed present petition under Article 226 of Constitution of India seeking issuance of writ to official respondents No.2 and 3 for protecting life and liberty of petitioners, from the hands of private respondent Nos.4 to 9 and not to harass them in any manner.
2. Learned counsel for the petitioners contended that petitioners are major and attained the age of marriage and they solemnized their marriage but the private respondent Nos.4 to 9 are not happy with the marriage.
3. Notice of motion.
4. Mr. Karan Veer Singh, Sr.DAG, Haryana, accepts notice on behalf of respondent-State and submitted that on representation of the petitioners, the respondents were called and their statements were recorded wherein they stated that they have no objection regarding the marriage of the petitioners.
5. In view of the above, the present writ petition is disposed of.



CRWP-9248-2025

2

In case, the petitioners feel any threat to their life and liberty at the hands of private respondents, they can approach the competent authority and the authority, which will provide the protection as per the directions in **Asha and another Vs. State of Haryana and another, CWP NO.6717 of 2009, decided on 25.07.2012** and in compliance of the same, the State Government had taken steps to protect the life and liberty of run away couple.

6. Apart from that the State of Haryana vide notification dated 17.02.2025 has also notified the SOPs for the protection of life and liberty of the petitioners in similar situations, in compliance of the Criminal Writ Petition No.12562 of 2023 titled as **“Kajal Vs. State of Haryana and others”**.

7. In light of above directions, the petitioners are directed to approach the concerned authorities.

8. Disposed of.

(SUBHAS MEHLA)
JUDGE

26.08.2025
monika

1. Whether speaking/ reasoned	:	Yes /No
2. Whether reportable	:	Yes /No