

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

2025:PHHC:117999



110

**CRM-W-1253-2025 in/and
CRWP-9255-2025 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 02.09.2025.**

Mandeep Kaur and anotherPetitioners.

Versus

State of Punjab and others ...Respondents.

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE SUKHVINDER KAUR

.....

Present: Ms. Palvi, Advocate for the petitioners.

SUKHVINDER KAUR, J. (Oral)

CRM-W-1253-2025

Prayer made in the application is for placing on record copy of correct translation of marriage certificate as Annexure A-1.

Application is allowed. Document Annexure A-1 is taken on record, subject to all just exceptions.

Main case

Prayer in the instant petition filed under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India, is for issuance of directions to respondents No.2 and 3 to provide protection of life and liberty to the petitioners, who have married against the wishes of private respondents No.4 and 5.

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that both the petitioners are major, petitioner No.1 – Mandeep Kaur, aged 29 years and petitioner No.2 – Parvez Anwar, aged 27 years and have got married on 22.08.2025, against the wishes of their family members, arrayed as

respondents No.4 to 7. The copies of Aadhaar card of petitioners (Annexures P-1 and P-2) have been placed on record. Copy of marriage certificate (Annexure A-1) and copy of photographs (Annexure P7) have also been placed on record.

It has been further submitted that the private respondents are threatening to interfere in the marital life of the petitioners. Hence, they are seeking protection in that regard and have approached this Court by way of filing the instant petition.

Notice of motion.

On the asking of the Court Ms. Gagandeep Kaur, DAG, Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of the respondents-State.

In view of the limited prayer made by the petitioners, the petition is disposed off with a direction to respondent No.2 to look into the representation dated 22.08.2025 (Annexure P-7) at the earliest and take necessary steps, if any required, in accordance with law, to ensure that the life and liberty of the petitioners is not jeopardized at the hands of the private respondents. However, this direction will not validate the marriage said to have taken place between the parties and will have no effect on any civil or criminal action, which could be initiated in the matter in accordance with law.

**(SUKHVINDER KAUR)
JUDGE**

02.09.2025

Komal

Whether speaking/reasoned?	:	Yes/ No
Whether reportable?	:	Yes/ No