



218

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH****CRM-M-48105-2025****Date of decision: 04.09.2025****PARVEEN KUMAR****....Petitioner****Versus****STATE OF PUNJAB****....Respondent****CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUBHAS MEHLA**

Present: Mr. Rudra Sharma, Advocate and
Mr. Navdeep Singh, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Sandeep Kumar, DAG, Punjab.

SUBHAS MEHLA, J (Oral):

1. Petitioner has filed petition under Section 483 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 for grant of regular bail in FIR No.85, dated 23.05.2025, under Sections 21 and 22 of NDPS Act, registered at Police Station Mullanpur, District SAS Nagar Mohali (Annexure P-1).

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner contended that co-accused namely Vinay Kumar has already been granted bail vide order dated 19.08.2025 (Annexure P-3) passed in CRM-M-43931-2025 by this Court and the case of the present petitioner is on the same footing as the contraband is not recovered from the possession of the petitioner but from the gear box of the car and the contraband falls into non-commercial quantity. Petitioner is in custody since 23.05.2025 i.e. more than 3 months. Investigation has already been completed in this case and trial is not likely to conclude in near future and no fruitful purpose will be served by keeping the petitioner behind the bars any longer as he is not involved in any other criminal activity of NDPS Act.



3. Custody certificate filed by learned State counsel is taken on record. It is not disputed that co-accused Vinay Kumar has already been granted bail vide order dated 19.08.2025 (Annexure P-3) passed in CRM-M-43931-2025 by this Court and the case of the petitioner is on the same footing.

4. Heard.

5. Keeping in view the fact that the investigation has already been completed, petitioner is in custody since 23.05.2025 i.e. more than 3 months and he is not involved in any other criminal activity of NDPS Act, therefore, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, the instant petition is allowed. The petitioner is ordered to be released on regular bail on his furnishing requisite bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court/Duty Magistrate/Chief Judicial Magistrate concerned.

(SUBHAS MEHLA)
JUDGE

04.09.2025
monika

1. Whether speaking/ reasoned	:	Yes /No
2. Whether reportable	:	Yes /No