



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

296

CRM-M-47189-2025
Date of decision: 28.10.2025

RAJ SINGH AND OTHERS

.....Petitioners

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA AND ANOTHER

.....Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VINOD S. BHARDWAJ

Present: - Mr. Randeep Dhakla, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Omkar Singh Wahla, Sr. DAG, Haryana.

Mr. Ankit Chaudhary, Advocate for respondent No.2.

VINOD S. BHARDWAJ, J. (Oral)

Prayer in the present petition under Section 528 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 is for quashing of FIR No. 170 dated 23.05.2025 under Sections 115, 190, 191 (3), 324(4), 333, 351(3), 61 of BNS, 2023 registered at Police Station Matlauda, District Panipat along with all subsequent proceedings arising therefrom on the basis of compromise dated 11.08.2025 (Annexure P-2).

Reply dated 13.10.2025 by way of an affidavit of Atma Ram, HPS, Deputy Superintendent of Police, Panipat already stands filed on behalf of respondent No.1 and the same is taken on record.



CRM-M-47189-2025

-2-

Even though the present petition is stated to have been filed seeking quashing of the FIR on the basis of compromise effected between the parties, however, it has been pointed by the State Counsel that it is only a partial settlement between the parties and that even then all the parties have not appeared before the trial Court to get their statements recorded.

I am of the view that the settlement has not been duly effected between the parties and thus, the present petition is dismissed at this stage.

Liberty is, however, granted to the petitioners to approach this Court after the decision of the Hon’ble Supreme Court in *SLP (Criminal) No. 17731 of 2024* titled as “*Puneet Kumar @ Punit Kumar versus State of Haryana and another*”, if so advised.

(VINOD S. BHARDWAJ)
JUDGE

OCTOBER 28, 2025
Vishal Sharma

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether Reportable : Yes/No