



101 (1)

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CR-6539-2017 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 03.09.2025

Surjit Kaur

...Petitioner

VERSUS

State of Punjab & anr.

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARKESH MANUJA

Present: Mr. Sukhdeep Singh Sidhu, Advocate &
Mr. Sohailbir Singh Sidhu, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Gunjan Mehta, Addl.A.G., Punjab.

Mr. Karan Gupta, Advocate for the applicant/ BDA.
(CM-7955-CI-2019)

HARKESH MANUJA, J. (ORAL)

CM-7955-CI-2019

For the reasons mentioned in the application, the same is allowed. Bathinda Development Authority, Bhagu Road, Bathinda through its Additional Chief Administrator is ordered to be impleaded as respondent No.2.

Amended memo of parties is taken on record. Registry to tag the same at appropriate place.

MAIN CASE:

In view of the order passed in 9153-CI-2017 in RFA No.1272-2002, titled as Surjit Kaur Vs. State of Punjab, no further

CR-6539-2017 (O&M) [2]

2025-PHHC-119414



cause survives in the present petition and as such the same is disposed of.

2. Pending misc. application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed of.

03.09.2025
sanjay

(HARKESH MANUJA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned ?	Yes/No
Whether reportable ?	Yes/No