

2025:PHHC:131709



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

217

CRM-M-47046-2025 (O & M)
Date of decision: 22.09.2025

HARPREET SINGH SANDHU

....Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB AND ANOTHER

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMAN CHAUDHARY

Present : Mr. Rahul Garg, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. M.S.Bajwa, DAG, Punjab.

Mr. Raman Kumar, Advocate,
for respondent No.2.

AMAN CHAUDHARY, J. (ORAL)

1. The present petition has been filed under Section 482 BNSS, 2023 for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in case FIR No.12 dated 26.02.2025, registered at Police Station Khem Karan, District Tarn Taran, under Section 420 IPC and Section 13 of Punjab Travel Professionals Regulation Act.

2. On 27.08.2025, this Court had passed the following order:-

“Learned counsel contends that the petitioner has falsely been implicated in the present case, however, a compromise has been arrived at between the parties on 24.07.2025, Annexure P-2, and CRM-M-44907-2025 has been filed for quashing of the FIR by way of compromise qua him, wherein directions have been issued to them to get their statements recorded. He is ready and willing to join investigation as and when required by the investigating agency.

Notice of motion.

At the asking of the Court, Mr. M.S.Bajwa, DAG, Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of respondent-State. Mr. Raman Kumar, Advocate, appears on behalf of respondent N.2 and affirms the factum of compromise having been arrived at and has instructions that the complainant has no objection, in case interim anticipatory bail is granted to the petitioner.

The petitioner is directed to join the investigation on or before 08.09.2025. In the event of his arrest, he shall be released on interim bail to the satisfaction of the Arresting Officer, subject to compliance of conditions as enshrined under Section 482(2) of BNSS, 2023.

However, it is clarified that if the petitioner does not join and cooperate with the Investigating Agency as required by the Arresting/Investigating Officer, this interim order shall be deemed to have been vacated.

Adjourned to 22.09.2025.”

3. Learned counsel submits that in pursuance of the aforementioned order, the petitioner has not only joined investigation but also fully cooperated with the investigating agency. He further submits that in case the investigating agency requires the petitioner to appear, he shall make himself available without demur.

4. Learned State counsel on instructions from the investigating officer affirms the factum of joining the investigation by the petitioner and cooperating with the investigating agency. He also submits that at this stage, the petitioner is not required for further custodial interrogation.

5. In view of the above and without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, anticipatory bail petition filed by the petitioner is allowed and the order dated 27.08.2025 granting interim bail to him, is hereby made absolute, subject to compliance of conditions as specified under Section 482(2) of BNSS, 2023.

6. However, it is made clear that if the petitioner fails to join and cooperate with the investigating agency as and when required, the State would be at liberty to move an application for cancellation of the present anticipatory bail granted to him.

22.09.2025

parveen kumar

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes / No

Whether reportable : Yes / No

(AMAN CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE