



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

(222)

CRM-M-47476-2025**DATE OF DECISION: 26.11.2025**

Rajat Kumar

.....Petitioner

VERSUS

State of Haryana

.....Respondent

CORAM HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUBHAS MEHLA

Present Mr.Maneet Kaushik, Advocate,
and Mr. Mohit Kaushik, Advocate, for the petitioner.
Mr. Aditya Pal Singla, AAG, Haryana.

SUBHAS MEHLA, J (ORAL)

1. By way of the present petition, the petitioner is seeking regular bail in FIR No.685 dated 13.12.2024, under Sections 109(1), 115, 117(2), 118(1), 190, 191(3), 324(4), 333, 351(2) and 238 BNS, 2023, registered at Police Station Thanesar Sadar, District Kurukshetra.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner contended that the petitioner is falsely involved in this case. He further contended that co-accused namely Sahil @ Golu has been granted the concession of regular bail vide order dated 27.10.2025, passed in CRM-M-54749-2025 and the case of the petitioner is on same footings to that of Sahil @ Golu and the main injury is attributed to Sahil @ Bhulekha. Present petitioner is in custody since 16.12.2024 and petitioner is having clear antecedents as no other case is pending against him.

3. Notice of motion.



4. Mr. Aditya Pal Singla, AAG, Haryana, accepted the notice on behalf of the respondent-State and produced the custody certificate of the petitioner, which is taken on record. Learned State counsel vehemently opposed the prayer for grant of regular bail to the petitioner but submitted, on instructions from ASI Om Parkash, that main injury is attributed to co-accused Sahil @ Bhulekha. Investigation has already been completed in this case and as per custody certificate placed on record, present petitioner is not involved in any other case.

5. Heard.

6. Keeping in view the facts and circumstances of the case and the contentions of the learned counsel for the petitioner as well as State and the fact that co-accused Sahil @ Golu has been granted concession of regular bail by this Court and the case of the present petitioner is on the same footings to that of him; investigation has already been completed and the present petitioner is in custody since 16.12.2024 and there is no material on record which suggests that he is involved in any other case except the present one as admitted by learned State counsel; trial will take sufficient time to conclude and no fruitful purpose would be served by keeping him in custody for any further period, as concession of bail cannot be denied just as measure of punishment as culpability of the accused will be decided at the final stage by the trial Court after appreciating the evidences adduced by both sides and it is trite principle of criminal jurisprudence that bail is rule, jail is an exception, this Court deems it a fit case to grant the concession of regular bail to the petitioner.

7. Therefore, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, the instant petition is allowed. The petitioner is ordered to be released

on regular bail on his furnishing requisite bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court/Duty Magistrate/Chief Judicial Magistrate concerned.

8. Nothing observed hereinabove shall be construed to be expression of an opinion by this Court on merits of the case. The learned Court below is directed to proceed with the matter on its own merits, lest it may prejudice the trial.

26.11.2025
mamta

(SUBHAS MEHLA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No