

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CWP-7888-2017 (O&M)
Date of Decision:16.08.2023

Jitender Singh		 Petitioner
	Versus	
State of Haryana and another		... Respondents
2. <u>CWP-12378-2017 (O&M)</u>		
Dr. Kuldeep Singh		 Petitioner
	Versus	
State of Haryana and Another		... Respondents
3. <u>CWP-15643-2016 (O&M)</u>		
Saurabh Pandit		 Petitioner
	Versus	
State of Haryana and Another		... Respondents
4. <u>CWP-12878-2017 (O&M)</u>		
Anirudh Sharma		 Petitioner
	Versus	
State of Haryana and Another		... Respondents
5. <u>CWP-13062-2017 (O&M)</u>		
Ashish Chaudhary		 Petitioner
	Versus	
State of Haryana and Another		... Respondents
6. <u>CWP-9749-2017 (O&M)</u>		
Sandeep		 Petitioner
	Versus	
State of Haryana and Others		... Respondents

CWP-7888-2017 (O&M)	2	2023:PHHC:108609
7. <u>CWP-18426-2017 (O&M)</u>		
Surender Malik		 Petitioner
	Versus	
State of Haryana and Another		... Respondents
8. <u>CWP-24865-2017 (O&M)</u>		
Manisha Nar		 Petitioner
	Versus	
State of Haryana and Another		... Respondents
9. <u>CWP-11467-2017 (O&M)</u>		
Ravinder Singh		 Petitioner
	Versus	
State of Haryana And Others		... Respondents
10. <u>CWP-23579-2017 (O&M)</u>		
Pawan Kumar		 Petitioner
	Versus	
State of Haryana and Another		... Respondents
11. <u>CWP-26294-2017 (O&M)</u>		
Smt. Kakul		 Petitioner
	Versus	
State of Haryana and Others		... Respondents
12. <u>CWP-14542-2017 (O&M)</u>		
Ajay Kumar		 Petitioner
	Versus	
State of Haryana and Others		... Respondents
13. <u>CWP-14799-2018 (O&M)</u>		
Priyanka		 Petitioner
	Versus	
State of Haryana and Another		... Respondents

14.

CWP-10962-2018 (O&M)

Chandi Ram

.... Petitioner
- Versus
- State of Haryana and Another

... Respondents
15.

CWP-15703-2017 (O&M)

Satya Narain

.... Petitioner
- Versus
- State of Haryana and Others

... Respondents
16.

CWP-6208-2019 (O&M)

Shakti Singh

.... Petitioner
- Versus
- State of Haryana and Another

... Respondents
17.

CWP-7956-2017 (O&M)

Subhash Chander

.... Petitioner
- Versus
- State of Haryana and Another

... Respondents
18.

CWP-1580-2020 (O&M)

Surender Lather

.... Petitioner
- Versus
- State of Haryana and Another

... Respondents
19.

CWP-3100-2020 (O&M)

Suresh Kumar Bodwal

.... Petitioner
- Versus
- State of Haryana and Another

... Respondents
20.

CWP-7211-2020 (O&M)

Amit Bhatia

.... Petitioner
- Versus
- State of Haryana and Another

... Respondents

	CWP-7888-2017 (O&M)	4	2023:PHHC:108609
21.	<u>CWP-7891-2017 (O&M)</u>		
	Ashvir Singh		 Petitioner
		Versus	
	State of Haryana and Another		... Respondents
22.	<u>CWP-7116-2020 (O&M)</u>		
	Manisha Aggarwal		 Petitioner
		Versus	
	State of Haryana and Another		... Respondents
23.	<u>CWP-7159-2020 (O&M)</u>		
	Ashok Kumar Panchal		 Petitioner
		Versus	
	State of Haryana and Another		... Respondents
24.	<u>CWP-12181-2017 (O&M)</u>		
	Dr. Manisha Choudhary		 Petitioner
		Versus	
	State of Haryana and Another		... Respondents
25.	<u>CWP-11520-2017 (O&M)</u>		
	Lav Kumar		 Petitioner
		Versus	
	State of Haryana And Another		... Respondents
26.	<u>CWP-12579-2017 (O&M)</u>		
	Anuj Mahajan		 Petitioner
		Versus	
	State of Haryana And Another		... Respondents
27.	<u>CWP-8043-2017 (O&M)</u>		
	Dilbag Singh		 Petitioner
		Versus	
	State of Haryana And Another		... Respondents

28. CWP-8044-2017 (O&M)

Preetpal Singh Mothsara

.... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana And Another

... Respondents

29. CWP-10943-2017 (O&M)

Ravinder Kumar

.... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana And Another

... Respondents

30. CWP-7954-2017 (O&M)

Deepak Kumar

.... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana And Another

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present:- Mr. Gurminder Singh, Senior Advocate with
Mr. RPS Bara, Advocate
for the petitioner(s) in CWP No.7888 & 12378 of 2017.

Mr. B.S. Rana, Senior Advocate with
Mr. Navdeep Doon, Advocate
Ms. Niharika Singh, Advocate
Mr. Nayandeep Rana, Advocate
for the petitioner in CWP No.1580 of 2020.

Mr. Amit Jhanji, Senior Advocate with
Mr. Himanshu Arora, Advocate
for the petitioner in CWP No.7956 of 2017.

Mr. Inder Pal Goyat, Advocate
for the petitioner(s) in CWP Nos.7891, 7954, 8043, 8044,
11520, 12579, 13062 of 2017, 10962, 14799 of 2018, 3100 of
2020.

Mr. Kuldeep Sheoran, Advocate
for the petitioner(s) in CWP Nos.14542, 10943, 11467, 9749
and 26294 of 2017.

Mr. Jasbir Mor, Advocate
for the petitioner in CWP No.15703 of 2017.

Mr. Akshay Kumar Jindal, Advocate
for CWP No.6208 of 2019.

Mr. Balraj Singh Rathee, Advocate and
Mr. Vinay Singh Rathee, Advocate
for the petitioner(s) in CWP No.23579 of 2017.

Mr. Amandeep Bidhan, Advocate
for the petitioner in CWP No.15643 of 2016.

Mr. Anil Mehta, Advocate and
Mr. Nishant Inder, Advocate
for the petitioner in CWP No.12181 of 2017.

Mr. P.S. Jammu, Advocate and
Mr. R.K. Poonia, Advocate
for the petitioner in CWP No.18426 of 2017.

Mr. Anurag Goyal, Advocate
for the petitioner(s) in CWP No.12878 & 24865 of 2017.

None for the petitioner(s) in CWP Nos.7211, 7116, 7159 of
2020.

Mr. Deepak Balyan, Addl. A.G., Haryana.

Mr. Kanwal Goyal, Advocate
Ms. Harpriya Khaneka, Advocate
for HPSC.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI, J. (ORAL)

1. By this common order, 30 writ petitions, details of which have been given in the heading are being disposed off as, all these petitions involve same question of law on similar facts.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner(s) submit that the grievance, which is being raised in the present petitions, is that there is no factual difference between the petitioners, who have been declared as tainted candidates and the candidates, who have been declared as non-tainted and have already been given appointments.

3. Learned senior counsel appearing for the petitioners further submit

that as the respondents have already filed an affidavit declaring all 102

candidates as tainted and have issued show cause notices to the candidates, who have been appointed after being declared as non-tainted, and the litigation qua the said decision is pending consideration in this Court, the petitioners do not want to press these writ petitions at this stage with liberty to revive the same or file fresh petitions on the same cause of action, after the litigation qua those 23 candidates declared as untainted attains finality and those 23 candidates are allowed to continue in appointment either by Court or by the respondent-State as the case may be.

4. As prayed for, all writ petitions are disposed of as not pressed, with liberty as aforesaid.

5. Civil Misc. Applications pending, if any, also stand disposed of.

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

August 16, 2023
Pankaj*

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes
Whether reportable	:	Yes