



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

115

CWP-24967-2025 (O&M)
Date of decision: 10.09.2025

Yash Pal Singh Chauhan and others

....Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARPREET SINGH BRAR

Present: Mr. Sita Ram Barvaria, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Vikrant Pamboo, Addl. A.G., Haryana
for respondents No.1 to 4.

Mr. R.K. Chaudhary, Advocate
for Mr. Sukhdeep Singh Parmar, Advocate
for respondents No.5 and 6.

HARPREET SINGH BRAR J. (Oral)

1. Prayer in this writ petition filed under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India, is for issuance of a writ in the nature of *mandamus*, directing the respondents to grant compound interest @ 18% p.a. on inordinate delay of 5 years and 6 months in payment of arrears of pay revision on the grant of 7th CPC and enhanced retrial dues after the revision of pay scales, due to callous attitude in implementation of revised pay scales w.e.f. 01.01.2016 to the petitioners/employees of CONFED/respondent No.6, in pursuance to the Government Haryana Notification No.1/20/2016-5PR/(FD) dated 28.10.2016 (Annexure P-2), wherein pay scales of employees were revised w.e.f. 01.01.2016 with



the direction "to ensure that the payment of salary to all Government employees is made to them in the respective entitled revised level of pay with effect from the month of November, 2016 to be paid in December, 2016 and arrears should be drawn during the current financial year, 2016-17". Further prayer has been made to issue any other appropriate writ, order or direction for unnecessarily harassing and withholding of property of the petitioners for a long time nearly 5 years and 6 months. Lastly it is prayed that litigation cost be granted for unnecessarily dragging the petitioners into avoidable litigation for time and again and wasting the precious judicial time of this Court.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that he would be satisfied if the legal notice dated 23.08.2024 (Annexure P-15) of the petitioners is decided by respondent No.6 by passing a speaking order in a time bound manner.

3. Learned State counsel as well as learned counsel for respondents No.5 and 6, appearing on advance notice, submits that they have no objection, in case a direction is issued to the respondent No.6 for time-bound consideration and decision of the legal notice dated 23.08.2024 (Annexure P-15) of the petitioners by passing a speaking order.

4. Therefore, in view of the limited prayer made by learned counsel for the petitioners, respondent No.6 is directed to consider the legal notice dated 23.08.2024 (Annexure P-15) of the petitioners and pass a speaking order, after affording an opportunity of hearing to the



petitioners, within a period of 03 months from the date of receiving a certified copy of this order. Further, the decision taken thereof shall be conveyed to the petitioners. Needless to say, if the petitioners are found entitled to the relief sought, the same shall be granted forthwith by respondent No.6.

5. Disposed of, accordingly.

(HARPREET SINGH BRAR)
JUDGE

10.09.2025
yakub

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether reportable:	Yes/No