



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

278

CRM-M-52941-2023

Date of decision : 18.11.2025

BALJIT SINGH AND ANR

..... PETITIONERS

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB AND ANOTHER

..... RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SURYA PARTAP SINGH

Present : Mr. Ajay Pal S. Aulakh, Advocate for
Mr. A.S. Manaise, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. J.S. Thind, DAG, Punjab.

Mr. Pankaj Kalia, Advocate
for respondent No.2.

SURYA PARTAP SINGH. J.(Oral)

1. For the quashing of order dated 14.07.2022, hereinafter being referred to as impugned order only, passed by learned Judicial Magistrate 1st Class, Batala, hereinafter being referred as trial Court, the present petition has been filed on the ground that the learned trial Court instead of accepting the cancellation report, treated the protest petition filed by the complainant as a complaint, and fixed the case for preliminary evidence.

2. The above-mentioned chronology of events and the impugned order passed by learned trial Court shows that a right view has been taken by the learned trial Court as the complainant is protesting against the

cancellation report moved by the petitioner. Till today no cognizance has been taken against the petitioner and therefore also, the petitioner has no locus standi to maintain the present petition.

3. At this stage, no ground for quashing of impugned order is made out. Hence, while holding that at this stage the present petition is devoid of merits and deserves dismissal, the same is hereby dismissed accordingly.

(SURYA PARTAP SINGH)
JUDGE

18.11.2025
vipin

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes
Whether Reportable : No