



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP-25733-2025

Date of Decision:01.09.2025

Sandeep Kaur

...Petitioner

Vs.

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice N.S.Shekhawat

Present : Ms. Mona Yadav, Advocate for
Mr. Ranjit Singh Kalra, Advocate
for the petitioners.

N.S.Shekhawat J. (Oral)

1. The petitioner has filed the present writ petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India with a prayer to issue a writ in the nature of Mandamus directing respondents to grant the benefits of service and salary in the pay scale of 10300-34800-3200 G.P along with arrears and interest thereon. A further prayer has been made to direct the respondents to decide the representation dated 22.07.2025 (Annexure P-12) in a time bound manner.
2. Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the case of the petitioner is squarely covered by the ratio of the law laid down by this Court in CWP-15896-2023 (O&M), titled as **“Saurabh Sharma and others Vs. State of Punjab and Anr.”** dated 13.09.2024.
3. Learned counsel further submits that the petitioner has already submitted a representation dated 22.07.2025 (Annexure P-12) to respondent No.3 and she shall be satisfied in case, appropriate directions are issued to the respondent No.3 to decide the representation dated 22.07.2025 (Annexure P-12) in a time bound manner.

4. Notice of motion.

5. On the asking of the Court, Mr. Charanpreet Singh, AAG, Punjab, who is present in the Court, accepts notice on behalf of the official respondents and has no serious objection to the prayer made by learned counsel for the petitioner, at this stage.

6. I have heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the record carefully.

7. At this stage, it would be appropriate to direct the respondent No.3 to decide the representation dated 22.07.2025 (Annexure P-12) in view of the law laid down by this Court in CWP-15896-2023, titled as **“Saurabh Sharma and others Vs. State of Punjab and Anr.”** dated 13.09.2024 within a period of four months of date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

8. Needless to observe that the respondent No.3 shall pass a speaking and well reasoned order in the light of the above referred judgment as well as relevant rules/instructions and in case, it is found that the petitioner is entitled to relief claimed in the representation, consequently, all consequential benefits may also be released to the petitioner, without any further delay.

9. Disposed of.

(N.S.SHEKHAWAT)
JUDGE

01.09.2025
hitesh

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No