



109 **IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP-25065-2025

Date of decision: 11.09.2025

Mahinder

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARPREET SINGH BRAR

Present: Ms. Pinki Mehla, Advocate
for the petitioner (through V.C.).

Mr. Piyush Khanna, Addl.A.G., Haryana.

Mr. Prince Singh, Advocate
for respondents No.3 & 4.

HARPREET SINGH BRAR, J. (ORAL)

1. The present civil writ petition has been filed under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a writ in the nature of *mandamus* directing the respondents to decide the representation dated 03.04.2025 (Annexure P-1) and legal notice dated 15.04.2025 (Annexure P-2) expeditiously.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that she would be satisfied if the legal notice (Annexure P-2) of the petitioner is decided by respondent No.4 by passing a speaking order in a time bound manner.

3. Learned State counsel as well as counsel for respondents No.3 & 4 submits that they have no objection in case a direction is issued to respondent No.4 for time-bound consideration and decision of the legal notice of the petitioner by passing a speaking order.

**CWP-25065-2025****-2-**

4. Therefore, in view of the limited prayer made by learned counsel for the petitioner, respondent No.4 is directed to consider the legal notice (Annexure P-2) of the petitioner and pass a speaking order, after affording him an opportunity to be heard, within a period of 03 months from the date of receiving a certified copy of this order. Further, the decision taken thereof shall be conveyed to the petitioner. Needless to say, if the petitioner is found entitled to the relief sought, the same shall be granted forthwith by respondent No.4.

5. Disposed of, accordingly.

(HARPREET SINGH BRAR)
JUDGE

11.09.2025*Neha*

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No