



246 (1)
IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-53408-2023 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 10.07.2025

AXXX

....Petitioner(s)

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

.....Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASGURPREET SINGH PURI

Present: Mr.G.B.S. Dhillon, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Gurpartap Singh Bhullar, AAG, Punjab.

Mr. Sunil Chadha, Senior Advocate with
Mr. Raghav Chadha, Advocate,
for proposed respondents No.5 to 9.

JASGURPREET SINGH PURI, J. (Oral)

1. Learned State counsel has stated that in the present case, after the registration of the FIR, the matter was investigated and a cancellation report has been filed before the Court of competent jurisdiction in which even the petitioner has been granted opportunities to file a protest petition.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that in view of the factual position as stated by the learned State counsel, he may be permitted to invoke the alternate remedy available to him in accordance with law by granting liberty to the petitioner to file a pretest petition and to oppose the



cancellation report before the trial Court/Court of competent jurisdiction and he may be permitted to withdraw the present petition accordingly.

3. The request of the learned counsel for the petitioner is accepted. The present petition is dismissed as withdrawn with liberty to the petitioner to file a protest petition or any application to oppose the cancellation report or any other appropriate application including filing of an independent criminal complaint in accordance with law.

4. Since the learned counsel for the petitioner has withdrawn the present petition, no further order is required to be passed in the application i.e. *CRM-32672-2024* for impleadment of accused as respondents No.5 to 9.

10.07.2025

(JASGURPREET SINGH PURI)

rakesh

JUDGE

Whether speaking

: Yes/No

Whether reportable

: Yes/No