



214

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-46808-2025

Date of Decision: 29.10.2025

SHELLY MISRI

..... Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB

..... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE YASHVIR SINGH RATHOR

Present : Mr. Ashish Bakshi, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Gorav Kathuria, DAG Punjab
assisted by ASI Gaurav Chandel.

YASHVIR SINGH RATHOR, J. (Oral)

1. Prayer in this petition under Section 482 of Bhartiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 is for grant of anticipatory bail in case FIR No.150 dated 11.11.2024 registered under Sections 420, 120-B IPC and Section 24 of Immigration Act, at Police Station Dugri, Ludhiana.

2. On 26.08.2025, the following order was passed:-

“This is first petition filed under Section 482 BNSS for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in case FIR No.150 dated 11.11.2024 registered under Sections 420, 120-B IPC and Section 24 of Immigration Act, at Police Station Dugri, Ludhiana.

Upon notice, the State Counsel has appeared and has opposed the bail. Both the parties have been heard and material placed on the file has been perused.

As per allegations in the FIR, complainant's daughter, namely, Avneet Kaur was taking coaching for IELTS in the Institute run by the petitioner. Petitioner introduced her to one Inderjit Kaur who is a travel agent for sending her to



UK for further studies and arranging visa. A sum of Rs.50,000/- was allegedly paid in advance to the petitioner and balance amount of Rs.8,50,000/- was paid to Inderjit Kaur, travel agent. However, neither her daughter was sent to UK nor the amount has been returned and she sought action against the accused. The anticipatory bail application moved by the petitioner before the trial Court has been dismissed.

Learned counsel for the petitioner argued that the petitioner has no concern with the present case and he never induced the daughter of complainant to pay any amount to Inderjit Kaur or to him for sending her abroad and the daughter of complainant had paid the amount to Inderjit Kaur, who is a travel agent and not to him. Petitioner is ready to join the investigation and to abide by the conditions that may be imposed by the Court and benefit of anticipatory bail be extended in his favour.

On the other hand, learned State Counsel has opposed the prayer and argued that the petitioner in collusion with Inderjit Kaur has cheated the complainant for a sum of Rs.9 lakhs and in view of gravity of offence, he does not deserve concession of anticipatory bail.

Adjourned to 29.10.2025.

In the meantime, petitioner is directed to join the investigation and in the event of his arrest, the petitioner shall be released on ad-interim bail to the satisfaction of the Arresting Officer/ Investigating Officer. However, the petitioner shall join the investigation as and when so required by the Investigating Officer. He shall not contact any person associated with the case to dissuade him from the investigation in any manner whatsoever nor shall he leave the country without prior permission of the Court. He shall further comply with the conditions stipulated in Section 482(2) BNSS/438(2) Cr.P.C.”



3. Today, on instructions from ASI Gaurav Chandel, learned State counsel has informed this Court that the petitioner has joined the investigation, in compliance of the order dated 26.08.2025 and is no longer required for further investigation.

4. In view of the aforesaid, the order dated 26.08.2025, whereby the petitioner was granted interim anticipatory bail, is hereby made absolute. However, he shall continue to join investigation, if and so required by the Investigating Officer.

5. Disposed of.

6. Pending misc application (s), if any, shall also stand disposed of.

(YASHVIR SINGH RATHOR)
JUDGE

29.10.2025
Ali

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No