



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

132

CRWP-9183-2025

Date of Decision : 25.08.2025

SUKHVIR SINGH AND ANOTHER**...Petitioners****VERSUS****STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS****...Respondents****CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE AARADHNA SAWHNEY**

Present: Mr. Kanwaljeet Singh Derabassi, Advocate
for the petitioners.
(through Video Conferencing).

AARADHNA SAWHNEY, J. (ORAL)

1. Apprehending threat to their life and liberty from respondents No.4 to 7, petitioners have filed the present petition praying therein to issue directions to official respondents to decide their representation.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that son of the petitioner had gone to U.K. on a valid work visa on 2022. Approximately 02 years thereafter, in September, 2024, he returned back with a girl named Jaswinder Kaur, who introduced herself as an immigration consultant and fraudulently collected money from private respondents No.6 to 7. As luck would have it, Jaswinder Kaur severed all contacts with petitioners. Resultantly, complaints were lodged against them by respondents No.6 and 7.

Learned counsel submits that under police pressure, petitioner No.1 was forced to enter into a compromise dated 08.04.2024 (Annexure P-1) and both the petitioners were also compelled to issue certain cheques (Cheque No.000009



amounting to Rs.8,00,000/-, Cheque No.000005 dated 09.04.2025 amounting to Rs. 7,00,000/- and Cheque No.000007 dated 10.06.2025 amounting to Rs.6, 36,000/-). Contention of learned counsel is that neither the compromise nor the cheques are valid documents as all of them were issued under police pressure, threat, coercion etc.

3. Upon being questioned as to whether any proceedings have been initiated to set aside the compromise dated 08.04.2024, learned counsel replied in negative.

4. As of now, learned counsel limits his prayer to direct the official respondents to decide his representation dated 21.08.2025 (Annexure P-3) in accordance with law in an expeditious manner.

5. Notice of motion to official respondents only.

6. On the asking of the Court, Mr. Kamalpreet Bawa, DAG, Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of respondents No.1 to 3-State.

7. After considering the respective submissions made by learned counsel for the petitioners and the averments made in the petition, without commenting on the merits thereof, the present petition is disposed of with direction to respondent No.3 – Senior Superintendent of Police, Patiala to consider and dispose of the representation dated 21.08.2025 (Annexure P-3), within a period of one month in accordance with law in an expeditious manner. The decision so taken be intimated to the petitioners as well.

(AARADHNA SAWHNEY)
JUDGE

25.08.2025
Nisha Yadav

Whether Speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No