



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

Sr. No.201

CRR No.1950 of 2024
Date of Decision: 04.09.2025

Emaan Singh Maan Petitioner

Versus

Bhagwant Singh Mann and another ... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE TRIBHUVAN DAHIYA

Present: Mr. Abhaypal Singh Gill, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Chanchal K. Singla, Additional Advocate General, Punjab,
for respondent no.1.

Mr. H.S. Batth, Advocate, for respondent no.2.

TRIBHUVAN DAHIYA, J. (ORAL)

The petition has been filed against the order, dated 24.07.2024, passed by the Chief Judicial Magistrate (CJM), Chandigarh, whereby complaint filed by the petitioner for summoning the accused and trying them for offences under Sections 499, 500 read with 120-B of the Indian Penal Code, 1860, has been dismissed.

2. Learned counsel for the respondents have taken preliminary objection to maintainability of the petition, as the petitioner has an alternative and efficacious remedy to approach the Sessions Court against the impugned order.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner, however, contends that this Court as well as the Sessions Court have concurrent jurisdiction to examine the records of any proceedings before an inferior Court in terms of Section 397 Cr.P.C. Therefore, the petition should be entertained.

4. Heard.



5. Although this Court as well as the Court of Sessions has concurrent jurisdiction to entertain revision petition against the impugned order, dated 24.07.2024, passed by the CJM, no reasons are forthcoming as to why the petitioner has bypassed the Sessions Court and approached this Court straightway by filing the instant petition. It is not the petitioner’s case either that he is unable to approach the Sessions Court on account of any peculiar circumstances. Accordingly, this Court finds no justification to entertain the petition in the face of efficacious remedy of filing a revision petition against the impugned order being available to the petitioner.

6. The petition is, accordingly, disposed of granting liberty to the petitioner to approach the Sessions Court to challenge the impugned order passed by the CJM, dated 24.07.2024, if so advised.

(TRIBHUVAN DAHIYA)
JUDGE

04.09.2025
Maninder

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No