



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

ARB-495-2025 (O&M)

Date of Decision:10.12.2025

Ledvance Private Limited

.....Petitioner

Versus

Elton Electricals Private Limited

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASGURPREET SINGH PURI

Present:- Mr. Mahir Sood, Advocate and
Ms. Harleen, Advocate for the petitioner.

None for respondent.

JASGURPREET SINGH PURI J.(Oral)

1. The present is a petition filed under Section 11 (6) of the Arbitration and Conciliation Act, 1996 (hereinafter referred to as 'the Act'), seeking appointment of a sole arbitrator.

2. In the present case, notice to the respondent was issued on 25.08.2025 and the same was duly served. However, the respondent did not appear on the last date of hearing i.e. 26.11.2025, despite being served through dasti process and in the interest of justice, the matter was accordingly adjourned for today. Today again the matter was taken up twice, but none has appeared on behalf of the respondent. The order dated 26.11.2025 is reproduced as under:—

“As per the report of Registry, respondent has been served through dasti process, however, none has caused appearance on its behalf.

In the interest of justice, adjourned to 10.12.2025.”



3. In view of the above and considering that the respondent, despite being served, has not appeared on two consecutive dates, this Court has proceeded to hear learned counsel for the petitioner.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that there is a valid agreement, namely Purchase & Sales Agreement, executed between the parties vide Annexure P-2, containing an arbitration clause at Para No.21.2 titled "Dispute Resolution" which provides that all disputes arising out of or in connection with this Agreement and all other agreements regarding its performance (including but not limited to any individual purchase contracts executed by the Parties hereunder) including any question regarding their existence validity or termination, shall be finally settled under the provisions of Arbitration & Conciliation Act 1996 by a sole arbitrator appointed by the petitioner or by Court. He further submitted that since a dispute arose between the parties, the petitioner served a notice upon the respondent vide Annexure P-11 for invoking the arbitration clause and also proposed two arbitrators but no response was received from the respondent. Therefore, he submitted that this Hon'ble Court may appoint a sole arbitrator for adjudicating the dispute.

5. After hearing learned counsel for the petitioner and perusing the arbitration clause as well as the notice issued invoking the arbitration clause, this Court is of the view that all the ingredients for invoking Section 11 of the Arbitration and Conciliation Act are satisfied and consequently, the present petition is allowed. Ms. Bindu Goel, Advocate, resident of House No.401, Sector 22-A, Chandigarh, Mobile No. 8968858395, Email: goelbindu68ram@gmail.com, is nominated as the



Sole Arbitrator to adjudicate the dispute between the parties, subject to compliance of statutory provisions including Section 12 of the Act.

6. Parties are directed to appear before learned Arbitrator on date, time and place to be fixed and communicated by learned Arbitrator at her convenience.

7. Fee shall be paid to learned Arbitrator in accordance with the Fourth Schedule of the Arbitration Act, as amended.

8. Learned Arbitrator is also requested to complete the proceedings as per the time limit prescribed under Section 29-A of the Act.

9. A request letter alongwith a copy of the order be sent to Ms. Bindu Goel, Advocate.

10.12.2025
shweta

(JASGURPREET SINGH PURI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No