

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

2025/PHHC/132263



(217)

**CRM-M-46612-2025
Decided on : 23.09.2025**

Jaspreet Singh

.....Petitioner(s)

Versus

State of Punjab and another

.....Respondent(s)

CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE SUMEET GOEL

Present: Mr. L.S. Sidhu, Advocate, for the petitioner (s).

Mr. Jaypreet Singh, DAG, Punjab.

Mr. Rohit Singla, Advocate for respondent No.2/complainant.

Sumeet Goel (Oral):

1. Apprehending his arrest in FIR No.180 dated 30.07.2025 registered for offences punishable under Section 420 of IPC at Police Station Talwandi Sabo, District Bathinda; the petitioner has preferred this petition under Section 482 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 seeking pre-arrest bail.

2. On 26.08.2025, the following order was passed:

“Apprehending his arrest in FIR No.180 dated 30.07.2025 registered for offences punishable under Section 420 of IPC at Police Station Talwandi Sabo, District Bathinda; the petitioner has preferred this petition under Section 482 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 seeking pre-arrest bail.

Counsel for the petitioner, inter alia, contends that the petitioner was merely helping the son of the complainant in pursuing his dream to go abroad, the said transaction was not to

the satisfaction of the complainant-side, on which account the petitioner has been falsely implicated into the FIR in question & the petitioner is willing to join investigation and cooperate therein.

Notice of motion.

On the strength of advance notice; Mr. Gurpartap S. Bhullar, AAG, Punjab has entered appearance on behalf of the respondent No.1-State of Punjab.

Adjourned to 23.09.2025.

The petitioner is directed to appear before the Investigating Officer on 01.09.2025 at 11:00 A.M. in concerned Police Station and join investigation. In the event of arrest, the petitioner shall be released on interim bail subject to his furnishing personal/surety bond(s) to the satisfaction of the Arresting Officer/Investigating Officer. As and when further called by Investigating Officer, the petitioner shall join the investigation. He shall abide by the condition(s) enumerated under Section 482(2) of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023.”

3. Learned State counsel (on instructions) has submitted that the petitioner has joined investigation but his further custodial interrogation is required for effecting the recovery of money in question as also two blank cheques.

4. Learned counsel for respondent No.2/complainant has vehemently opposed the grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner by arguing that allegations raised against the petitioner are direct/serious in nature and hence he ought not to be granted concession of anticipatory bail. He has further iterated that and in case the petitioner is extended the concession of anticipatory bail, there is all the likelihood that he may flee from the process of justice as also intimidate/influence the witnesses.

5. Having heard learned counsel for the rival parties and upon perusal of the record; especially keeping in view the factum of the

petitioner having joined investigation & cooperated therein and his custodial interrogation being sought only for recovery of the some money and two blank cheques; this Court is inclined to confirm the order dated 26.08.2025.

6. Accordingly, the petition is allowed and the order dated 26.08.2025 granting anticipatory bail to the petitioner is hereby made absolute, subject to the conditions as enumerated under Section 482(2) of BNSS.

7. This order should not be treated as “blanket” order. It will not be read granting petitioner indefinite protection from arrest. It shall be confined to the FIR mentioned *ibid* and will not operate in respect of any other incident that involves commission of an offence.

8. Liberty is reserved in favour of State/complainant to move for cancellation/recall of this order in case the petitioner violates any condition stipulated under Section 482(2) of BNSS or upon showing any other sufficient cause.

9. Needless to say that anything observed herein above shall not be construed to be an opinion on the merits of the case.

10. Pending application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed off.

September 23, 2025

Naveen

**(SUMEET GOEL)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned :

Yes/No

Whether Reportable :

Yes/No