

230

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

ARB-498-2025

Date of decision: 22.12.2025

I P INTEGRATED SERVICES PRIVATE LIMITED

...Applicant(s)

VERSUS

QUIKR INDIA PRIVATE LIMITED

...Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASGURPREET SINGH PURI


Present:- Mr. Piyush Bansal, Advocate
for the applicant.

Ms. Jagriti Kala, Advocate for
Mr. Naresh Jain, Advocate
for the respondent.

JASGURPREET SINGH PURI, J. (Oral)

1. The present application has been filed under Section 11 of the Arbitration and Conciliation Act, 1996 (hereinafter referred to as 'the Act') seeking appointment of an independent Arbitrator to adjudicate the disputes and differences which have arisen between the parties.

2. Learned counsel for the applicant submitted that there was an agreement between the parties vide Annexure P-3, which also contains an arbitration clause i.e. Clause 10.2. He further submitted that a dispute arose between the parties and the applicant invoked the aforesaid arbitration clause by issuing a notice dated 05.03.2024 (Annexure P-7) through registered post but no response was received from the respondents and therefore, the present



application has been filed under Section 11 of the Act seeking appointment of an independent Arbitrator.

3. On the other hand, learned counsel appearing on behalf of the respondent submitted that there is no dispute with regard to the existence of the arbitration clause and invocation of the said arbitration clause by way of issuance of notice vide Annexure P-7 and therefore, the respondent has no objection in case a Sole Arbitrator is appointed by this Court.


4. Both the learned counsel for the parties have submitted that although the aforesaid arbitration clause provides for the constitution of an Arbitral Tribunal consisting of three members but considering the claim amount, which is stated to be tentatively between Rs.40-50 lakhs, a Sole Arbitrator may be appointed by this Court.

5. The aforesaid request made by the learned counsels for the parties is accepted.

6. In view of the aforesaid facts and circumstances, the present application is allowed. Mr. Justice Jitendra Chauhan, a former Judge of this Court, resident of House No.1501, Sector 36-D, Chandigarh, e-mail ID-justicejitendrachauhan@ gmail.com, is nominated as the Sole Arbitrator to adjudicate the dispute between the parties, subject to compliance of statutory provisions including Section 12 of the Act.

7. Parties are directed to appear before the learned Arbitrator on date, time and place to be fixed and communicated by the learned Arbitrator at his convenience.

8. Fee shall be paid to the learned Arbitrator in accordance with the Fourth Schedule of the Arbitration Act, as amended.



9. Learned Arbitrator is also requested to complete the proceedings as per the time limit prescribed under Section 29-A of the Act.
10. A request letter alongwith a copy of the order be sent to Mr. Justice Jitendra Chauhan, a former Judge of this Court.

22.12.2025
Chetan Thakur

(JASGURPREET SINGH PURI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No