

CM-17244-CWP-2025 in/and
CWP-25778-2024 and connected cases 1

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

(107+230)	CM-17244-CWP-2025 in/and CWP-25778-2024 Date of Decision : November 19, 2025	
Union of India and others	Versus	.. Petitioners
Sandeep Kohar and another		.. Respondents
(2)	CWP-25741-2024	
Union of India and others	Versus	.. Petitioners
Ravi and another		.. Respondents
(3)	CWP-25766-2024	
Union of India through its Secretary and others	Versus	.. Petitioners
Manoj Kumar and another		.. Respondents
(4)	CWP-25987-2024	
Union of India and others	Versus	.. Petitioners
Robin Kumar and another		.. Respondents
(5)	CWP-26070-2024	
Union of India and others	Versus	.. Petitioners
Pardeep Kumar and another		.. Respondents
(6)	CWP-26101-2024	
Union of India and others	Versus	.. Petitioners
Ankit Singh and another		.. Respondents



**CM-17244-CWP-2025 in/and
CWP-25778-2024 and connected cases 2**

(7) CWP-28067-2024

Union of India and others .. Petitioners

Versus

Amit Kumar and another .. Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS SURI**

Present: Mr. Ravinder Pal Singh, Senior Panel Counsel,
for the petitioners-UOI (in all petitions).

Mr. Shiv Kumar Sharma, Advocate, for respondent No.1
(in CWP-26101-2024).

Mr. Anurag Goyal, Senior Advocate, with
Mr. Ayush Gupta, Advocate and
Mr. Nikhil Lather, Advocate, for the respondents.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI J. (ORAL)

CM-17244-CWP-2025

Present application has been filed for placing on record
Annexures R-1/1 to R-1/4.

Keeping in view the averments made in the application, which are
duly supported by an affidavit, the application is allowed. Annexures R-1/1 to
R-1/4 are taken on record.

CWP-25778-2024 and connected cases

1. In the present writ petitions, the challenge is to the order dated
10.04.2024 (Annexure P-3) passed by respondent No.2 – the Central
Administrative Tribunal, Chandigarh Bench, Chandigarh (hereinafter referred
to as “the Tribunal”), whereby the Original Applications filed by respondent
No.1 have been allowed and the impugned order, the dismissal order dated
12.09.2019 (Annexure A-9) as well as the appellate order dated 19.01.2022



**CM-17244-CWP-2025 in/and
CWP-25778-2024 and connected cases 3**

(Annexure A-14) have been quashed, and the petitioners have been directed to reinstate respondent No.1 into service forthwith along with all consequential benefits.

2. At the outset, learned Senior Counsel for the respondents submits that the issue which has been decided by the Tribunal whose judgment has been impugned in the present writ petitions, was also raised before the Principal Bench, New Delhi, with regard to the termination of the service of the similarly selected candidates on the ground of negative CFSL report which described that the signatures of the candidates do not match.

3. Learned Senior Counsel for the respondents further submits that the Principal Bench, New Delhi while passing the order in OA No.1133 of 2022 on 20.02.2025, set aside the similar action of the Department in terminating the services which judgment has already been upheld upto the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India.

4. Learned counsel for the respondents further submits that once, the same issue has already attained finality, on the basis of which final decision, the benefit has been granted to the respondents, the writ petitions filed may kindly be dismissed as the issue has already attained finality upto the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India.

5. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that though, the said issue has already attained finality but in the present petitions, the consequential benefits have also been given even for the period during which the respondents remained out of service hence, the said direction needs to be modified as upon reinstatement, though, the notional fixation be given but arrears can be denied.

6. Learned Senior Counsel for the respondents, on the instructions from the respondents, who are present in the Court, submits that the said



**CM-17244-CWP-2025 in/and
CWP-25778-2024 and connected cases 4**

modification is not objected to and notional fixation be given so as to have continuity of service till the date of reinstatement but, only if reinstatement is granted within a period of 12 weeks from the date of receipt of copy of this order.

7. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the benefit upheld by this Court of reinstatement will be granted within time frame of 12 weeks of the receipt of copy of this order.

8. Keeping in view the above, the present writ petitions are disposed of with the direction that as prayed for, the petitioners are not pressed qua the reinstatement of the respondent-employees in view of the fact that the similar issue has already attained finality qua the other similarly situated employees but with regard to the grant of consequential benefits, reinstatement order of the Tribunal is modified as agreed between the parties that the same will only be notional upto the date of reinstatement which will be done as undertaken, within a period of 12 weeks of the receipt of copy of this order.

9. Civil miscellaneous application pending if any, also stands disposed of.

10. A photocopy of this order be placed on the file of other connected cases.

**(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE**

November 19, 2025
harsha

**(VIKAS SURI)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes
Whether reportable : No