



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

229

COCP-3254-2023 (O&M)
Date of decision: 21.11.2025

Jasbir Singh

...Petitioner

V/s

Sukhbir Singh and others

...Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKRAM AGGARWAL

Present: Mr. R.S. Athwal, Advocate, for the petitioner.
(through video conference)

Ms. Rishna Verma, Advocate, for the respondents.

VIKRAM AGGARWAL, J (ORAL)

Reply on behalf of respondents No.1 to 3 filed in the Registry is taken on record.

2. After having argued for some time, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that he does not wish to pursue the present petition and the petitioner, if so advised, shall avail all such remedies as shall be admissible in law, including institution of a petition under Order 39 Rule 2A of the Code of Civil Procedure, 1908, in accordance with law.

3. Needless to assert that the petitioner shall be entitled to seek condonation of delay, if any, in terms of Article 14 of the Limitation Act, 1963, in accordance with law. Rule stands discharged.

Pending application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed of.

**(VIKRAM AGGARWAL)
JUDGE**

November 21, 2025

vcgarg	Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
	Whether reportable	:	Yes/No