



CWP-24824-2025

1

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP-24824-2025

Date of decision: 12.11.2025

BALJEET SINGH NAYAK

....PETITIONER

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

...RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SHEEL NAGU, CHIEF JUSTICE
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJIV BERRY

Present: - Mr. Ranjan Lakhanpal, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Neeraj Gupta, Addl. A.G. Haryana.

SHEEL NAGU, C.J. (Oral)

This petition is third round of litigation after exhausting the earlier two rounds in shape of CWP-17886-2021, disposed of on 08.09.2022, and COCP-1231-2024, disposed of on 15.04.2023.

2. Thereafter, the writ petition was listed for compliance in IOIN category. The same was disposed of on 28.04.2023. The order dated 28.04.2023 needs to be reproduced for proper adjudication in this case:

“1. The matter has been put up in compliance of order dated 08.09.2022, vide which the writ petition was disposed of and it was directed that status report be filed in regard to pending petitions/appeals alongwith details of steps taken for preventive measures, safeguards etc., for preventing encroachment and that it also be specified whether any fresh encroachments have come up after the last demarcation on 28.04.2021.

2. Affidavit dated 19.04.2023, of Mr. Manoj Kumar, Deputy Commissioner, Jind (Haryana), in compliance thereof has been filed.

3. Perused.



CWP-24824-2025

2

4. *We find no ground for any further order, at this stage. However, submission of learned counsel for the petitioner is noticed that certain fresh encroachments have come up, though she does not have details thereof. In case, any such encroachments have indeed come up, it is open to the petitioner to bring the same to the notice of Deputy Commissioner, Jind, who needless to say, shall take necessary action in accordance with law.*
5. *IOIN stands disposed of accordingly.”*

3. In view of the above, it is obvious that the petitioner had been granted liberty to point out as to any further encroachments that are left on the spot for which the petitioner was granted liberty to make the representation and if the same is made, then the Deputy Commissioner, Jind, was issued a direction for removing the same.
4. Accordingly, since the petitioner has already made a representation (Annexure P-3) to Deputy Commissioner, Jind, let the same be considered by Deputy Commissioner, Jind, and if any encroachment is found, the needful be done as expeditiously as possible.
5. Disposed of.

(SHEEL NAGU)
CHIEF JUSTICE

12.11.2025
kanika

(SANJIV BERRY)
JUDGE

- | | | |
|-----|----------------------------|--------|
| i) | Whether speaking/reasoned? | Yes/No |
| ii) | Whether reportable? | Yes/No |