



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH

120

CRM-M-46708-2025  
Date of decision: 28.08.2025

DALJEET SINGH .....Petitioner

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA AND ANOTHER .....Respondents

**CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VINOD S. BHARDWAJ**

\*\*\*\*\*

Present: - Mr. Sanjeev Majra, Advocate  
for the petitioner.

\*\*\*\*\*

**VINOD S. BHARDWAJ, J. (Oral)**

**CRM-33505-2025**

Application is allowed as prayed for and Annexures P-1 to P-4  
are taken on record.

**CRM-M-46708-2025**

Challenge in the present petition is to the alleged condition imposed by the Additional Sessions Judge, Karnal in the order dated 03.04.2025 in case bearing FIR No. 195 dated 06.03.2018 registered under Sections 406, 420, 467, 468, 471, 474, 409 and 120-B of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 at Police Station Civil Line, District Karnal whereby the petitioner has been allegedly directed to deposit a sum of Rs. 10 lacs (wrongly mentioned in the head note as well as in the petition as Rs. 20 lacs).



2. I have heard learned Counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner and have gone through the documents appended alongwith the present petition.

3. Undisputedly, the petitioner was granted the concession of anticipatory bail by recording as under:-

*“11. During the course of arguments, counsel for the applicant-accused Daljeet Singh has suffered the statement to the effect that on the instructions of his client, out of remaining amount i.e. 10 lacs, the applicant-accused Daljeet Singh will deposit a sum of 2 lacs on 30.05.2025, 2 lacs on 31.08.2025 and 6 lacs till 31.12.2025 and will produce the receipts in the regard after moving miscellaneous application. In case, he would fail to pay the amount then prosecution has every right to seek cancellation of his bail after moving application.*

*12. As such it is a fit case whereby the concession of pre-arrest bail has to be extended to the applicant/accused especially he has joined the investigation. As such, without commenting further on the merits of the case, the orders of interim bail dated 27.02.2025 is made absolute subject to the conditions as mentioned under Section 438 (2) Cr.P.C. which are as under:*

*(1) In the event of arrest, the applicant/accused be released on bail subject to his furnishing personal bond and surety bond to the satisfaction of the arresting/investigating officer;*



*(2) that the applicant/accused shall not directly or indirectly make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case against them so as to dissuade him from disclosing such facts to the Court or to any police officer;*

*(3) that the applicant/accused shall not leave India without prior permission of the Court;*

*(4) that the applicant/accused shall join the investigation as and when so required by the investigating agency.*

12. *The applicant shall join the investigation as and when called by the Investigating Officer or any Superior officer and shall cooperate with the investigation at all further stage as might be required. In the event of failure to do so, it will be pen for the prosecution to seek cancellation of the bail. Whenever the investigation occurs within the police premises, the applicant shall not be called before 8 AM and shall be let off before 6 PM and shall not be subjected to third-degree, indecent language, inhuman treatment etc. The petitioner shall not influence, browbeat, pressurize, make any inducement, threat or promise, directly or indirectly, to the witnesses, the police officials, or any other person acquainted with the facts and the circumstances of the case, to dissuade them from disclosing such facts to the police, or the Court, or to tamper with the evidence.*

13. *Accordingly, application for grant of pre-arrest bail is hereby allowed. It is made clear the prosecution may apply for the cancellation of the bail in case the applicant/accused Daljeet Singh would commit breach of any of the conditions and undertaking given by*



CRM-M-46708-2025

-4-

*him in deposit the amount of 10 lac/-. The Branch Manager of the concerned Branch/complainant is directed to permit the applicant/accused Daljeet Singh son of Anoop Singh to deposit the amount of 10 lacs towards his loan account in installments.”*

4. It is evident from a perusal of the above that the Counsel for the petitioner had suffered a statement on instructions from the petitioner herein that out of the total amount of Rs. 10 lakhs payable by the petitioner to the complainant bank, a sum of Rs. 2 lakhs would be deposited by 30.05.2025, another Rs. 2 lakhs was to be deposited by 31.08.2025 and the balance of Rs. 6 lakhs would be deposited by him till 31.12.2025 and he shall furnish the receipts in support thereof. The said statement of the petitioner was accepted by the Additional Sessions Judge and eventually, while parting with the order and confirming the anticipatory bail granted to the petitioner, the petitioner was made bound by his own undertaking to deposit the sum of Rs. 10 lacs. Hence, it is not the condition imposed by the Court, rather, it is recording of an undertaking given by the petitioner himself.

5. In view of the above, the present petition is dismissed as the order dated 03.04.2025 passed by Additional Sessions Judge, Karnal does not require any further interference of this Court.

**AUGUST 28, 2025***Vishal Sharma***(VINOD S. BHARDWAJ)  
JUDGE**

|                           |   |        |
|---------------------------|---|--------|
| Whether speaking/reasoned | : | Yes/No |
| Whether Reportable        | : | Yes/No |