



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

204+101

CWP-13261-2017 (O & M)
Date of Decision:27.10.2025

SATBIR SINGH GORIPURIA & ANR.

...PETITIONERS

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

...RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUVIR SEHGAL

Present: Mr. Satbir Singh Goripuria,
petitioner No.1 in person.

Mr. Vrishketu, Advocate for
Mr. Arpan Sabharwal, Advocate for petitioner No.2.

Mr. Siddharth Sandhu, AAG, Punjab.

Mr. Aman Sharma, Advocate,
Ms. Pawandeep Kaur, Advocate and
Mr. Chirag Suri, Advocate for respondent No.4.


Mr. Gagan Oberoi, Advocate
for respondents No.5 and 6.

SUVIR SEHGAL, J. (ORAL)

CWP-13261-2017 (O & M)

1. Mr. Goripuria, petitioner No.1, has filed an affidavit dated
27.10.2025, which is taken on record. Its contents read as under:-

*"1. That I filed Civil Writ Petition No. 13261 of
2017, titled "Satbir Singh Goripuria v. State of Punjab
and Others" under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of
India, challenging the validity of the management, the*



**CM-12277-CWP-2025 in/and
CWP-13261-2017 (O & M)**

-2-

Memorandum of Association, the functioning of the Governing Body, and seeking directions for the conduct of fresh elections, as none had been held since 2011, which is presently sub judice and pending before this Hon'ble Court, and is listed for hearing on 27-10-2025.

2. That I am fully satisfied with the conduct and outcome of the elections of the Sky Rock City Welfare Society which were held on 01.08.2025 and have no objection, grievance, or complaint of any nature whatsoever in respect of the newly elected President or members, Governing Body or its functioning. I have personally verified all the relevant documents and records pertaining to the said elections and the affairs of the Society to my complete satisfaction.

3. That I shall not file or pursue with any litigation in respect of the subject matter of the aforesaid writ petition in future, either in my personal capacity or through any other person, as the same has become infructuous.”

2. In view of the above, Mr. Goripuria, petitioner No.1, who has appeared in person, seeks permission to withdraw the writ petition.

3. Counsel representing petitioner No.2 also has instructions to withdraw the writ petition.

4. In view thereof, writ petition is dismissed as withdrawn.

5. Pending applications are disposed of.

27.10.2025
sheetal

**(SUVIR SEHGAL)
JUDGE**

Whether Speaking/Reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No