



216 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-46476-2025
Date of Decision: 28.10.2025

PARDEEP KUMAR

..... Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA

..... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE YASHVIR SINGH RATHOR

Present : Mr. Akash Yadav, Advocate for the petitioner
(through Video Conferencing).

Mr. Ramesh Kumar Ambavta, DAG, Haryana
assisted by ASI Balram.

YASHVIR SINGH RATHOR, J. (Oral)

1. Prayer in this petition under Section 482 of Bhartiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 is for grant of anticipatory bail in case FIR No.0023 dated 27.01.2025 registered under Sections 190/191(2)/191(3)/115(2)/333/351(2)/110/ 61(2)/117(2) of Bhartiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023 (old Sections 149/147/148/323/452/506/308/120-B and 322 IPC) at Police Station Badhra, District Charkhi Dadri.

2. On 25.08.2025, the following order was passed:-

“This is first petition filed under Section 482 of the BNSS, 2023 for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in case FIR No.0023 dated 27.01.2025 registered under Sections 190/191(2)/191(3)/115(2)/333/351(2)/110/61(2)/117(2) of Bhartiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023 (old Sections 149/147/148/323/452/506/308/120-B and 322 IPC) at Police Station Badhra, District Charkhi Dadri.

The present case registered on the basis of complaint given to the Police by Ravinder alias Babbal with the allegations that he along with one Pawan alias Jitender had purchased



4 acres of land in the year 2019 in Village Bhandwa on Lohari Road, Badhra Road in equal shares. However, Jitender alias Pawan had inducted five more partners in his share, namely, Dinesh, Suresh, Dinesh son of Ishwar, Sombir and Vijay and for the last 7-8 months, they were raising a dispute and were claiming the valuable portion to be in their ownership. On 24.01.2025 at about 03:40 PM, he along with Dharmender alias Dharma, Manender and Naresh were sitting in the said plot when about 8 young boys came there, armed with lathis and dandas and caused injuries to him. They proclaimed that they have been sent by Amit resident of Fatehgarh Sahib and threatened him to vacate the land failing which he will be shot dead.

Learned counsel for the petitioner argued that the petitioner has been falsely implicated. He has not been named in the FIR and his name has cropped up in the disclosure statement of co-accused, which is not admissible in evidence. Learned counsel further contended that six accused, namely, Rahul, Kirtiman, Narender, Vijay, Jitender alias Pawan and Dinesh Kumar have already been arrested and released on bail. One of the co-accused, namely, Dinesh Kumar has been released on anticipatory bail by a co-ordinate Bench of this Court, whose case is identical to that of petitioner and he, too is entitled to be released on bail on the ground of parity. Learned counsel further contended that no offence under Section 110 of BNS, 2023 is made out. Petitioner is ready to join the investigation and to abide by the conditions that may be imposed by the Court and he may be released on bail.

Notice of motion.

Mr. Ramesh Kumar Ambavta, DAG, Haryana, accepts notice on behalf of the respondent- State.

Learned State Counsel has opposed the bail and argued that the petitioner has committed a heinous offence and he do not deserve the concession of anticipatory bail.

Adjourned to 28.10.2025 for filing status report.

In the meantime, in case of his arrest, the petitioner shall be released on interim bail subject to the satisfaction of the Arresting Officer/ Investigating Officer. However, it is further directed that the petitioner shall join the investigation as and when so required by the Investigating Officer. He shall not contact any person associated with the case to dissuade him from the investigation in any manner whatsoever and nor shall leave the country without prior permission of the Court. He shall further comply with the conditions stipulated in Section 482(2)BNSS/438(2) Cr.P.C.”

3. Today, on instructions from ASI Balram, learned State counsel has informed this Court that the petitioner has joined the investigation, in



compliance of the order dated 25.08.2025 and is no longer required for further investigation.

4. In view of the aforesaid, the order dated 25.08.2025, whereby the petitioner was granted interim anticipatory bail, is hereby made absolute. However, he shall continue to join investigation, if and so required by the Investigating Officer.

5. Disposed of.

6. Pending misc application (s), if any, shall also stand disposed of.

(YASHVIR SINGH RATHOR)
JUDGE

28.10.2025
Priyanka Thakur

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No