



CRM-M-50874-2024

2

3. Learned State counsel, on instructions from HC Sukhpal Ram, intimates the Court that the petitioners have joined investigation and are neither required for further investigation nor for any custodial interrogation.

4. Learned counsel for the complainant opposed the submissions made by learned counsel for the petitioners.

5. During the course of hearing on 11.02.2025, following order was passed:

“2. *Heard.*

3. *Vide order dated 16.10.2024, while recording the contention of the petitioners, the arrest of the petitioners has been stayed. As stated above, learned counsel for the complainant has submitted that compromise has been effected between the parties.*

4. *Be it the case, without commenting on the merits of the case, the petitioners are hereby directed to join investigation within seven days from today and in the event of their arrest, they are ordered to be released on interim bail on their furnishing personal/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the Arresting Officer/Investigating Officer. They shall also abide by conditions as envisaged under Section 482 (2) of BNSS, 2023.*

5. *Investigating Officer of the case to remain present along with record on the next date of hearing.*

6. *List on 03.03.2025.”*

6. Keeping in view the above submissions made by learned State counsel and the fact that the petitioners had joined the investigation consequent to the order dated 11.02.2025. passed by this Court, interim bail granted vide order dated 11.02.2025 is hereby confirmed, subject to conditions as envisaged under Section 482 (2) of BNSS, 2023. Further the



CRM-M-50874-2024

3

petitioners are directed to join investigation as and when required in future by way of written notice for such purpose to be served by Investigating Officer of this case upon the petitioners; they will not tamper with the evidence nor will influence the witnesses and will not leave the country without prior permission of the Court.

7. The petition stands allowed.
8. It is made clear that anything contained hereinabove shall not be construed to be an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

(SANJIV BERRY)
JUDGE

03.03.2025
kanika

- | | | |
|-----|----------------------------|--------|
| i) | Whether speaking/reasoned? | Yes/No |
| ii) | Whether reportable? | Yes/No |