



already been examined as PW1 and during the trial he did not support the version of the prosecution. He further submits that the co-accused have already been granted concession of regular bail by this Court (Annexure P-4 to P-6).

Notice of motion.

On asking of the Court, Mr. Karan Sharma, DAG, Haryana appears and accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State and seeks time to file status report. A copy of the paper book be supplied to him during the course of the day.

Learned State counsel seeks some time to get the instructions as to whether after filing of the challan against the co-accused and without proceeding against the petitioner, the investigation is still continuing and under what circumstances it is being continued.

Adjourned to 27.01.2025.

In the meantime, petitioner is directed to join investigation as and when called by SHO/Investigating Officer and in the event of his arrest, he shall be released on interim bail on his furnishing bail bonds/surety bonds to the satisfaction of SHO/Investigating Agency, subject to the following conditions as envisaged under Section 482 (2) of BNSS:-

(i) that the petitioner shall make himself available for interrogation before the investigating officer as and when required:

(ii) that the petitioner shall not, directly or indirectly make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case as to dissuade him from disclosing such facts to the Court or to any police officer;

(iii) that the petitioner shall not leave the country without prior permission of the Court concerned.

Status report be filed on or before the next date of hearing with an advance copy to the counsel opposite.”

3. Status report by way of an affidavit dated 24.01.2025 of Amit

Bhatia, Deputy Superintendent of Police, Narwana, District Jind has been filed



on behalf of respondent-State and the same is taken on record. A perusal of para No.11 indicates that although the petitioner has joined the investigation, however, he is required for further custodial interrogation. However, learned State counsel, on instructions from ASI Shamsheer Singh, who came present in Court, submits that in compliance of order dated 14.10.2024 passed by this Court, the petitioner has joined the investigation and is not required for further custodial interrogation.

4. Keeping in view the statement made by learned State Counsel, the order dated 14.10.2024, is made absolute. The petitioner shall abide by the terms and conditions enumerated in Section 482 (2) B.N.S.S.

5. The petition is accordingly disposed of.

6. Nothing observed hereinabove shall be construed to be an expression of opinion by this Court lest it may prejudice the trial. The learned trial Court is directed to proceed with the trial on its own merits, strictly in accordance with law.

January 27, 2025
manisha

(HARPREET SINGH BRAR)
JUDGE

- | | | |
|------|---------------------------|--------|
| (i) | Whether speaking/reasoned | Yes/No |
| (ii) | Whether reportable | Yes/No |