



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

230

CR-5883-2024**Decided on:21.02.2025**

Pawan Singh (deceased) through LRs

... Petitioner

Versus

Reeta Devi and others

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL**PRESENT:** Mr. Ramesh Chand Sharma, Advocate
for the petitioner.Mr. Satbir Rathore, Advocate
for respondent No1.

VIKAS BAHL, J.(ORAL)

1. The present Civil Revision Petition has been filed under Article 227 of the Constitution of India for setting aside the impugned order dated 19.09.2024 passed by the Additional District Judge, Hoshiarpur vide which the application filed by the respondent for grant of mense profit has been allowed.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the petitioner would limit his prayer in the present petition for directing the first Appellate Court to decide the appeal, which is now listed in the month of June, 2025, as expeditiously as possible.

3. Keeping in view the abovesaid facts and circumstances and the limited prayer made by learned counsel for the petitioner, present petition is disposed of with request to the first Appellate Court to decide the case as expeditiously as possible.



4. Learned counsel for the petitioner as well as counsel for the contesting respondent No.1 have undertaken that they would not delay the proceedings and would argue the case, whenever directed by the first Appellate Court to argue the same.

21.02.2025

Mehak

Whether reasoned/speaking?
Whether reportable?

Yes/~~No~~
~~Yes~~/No

(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE