

CRM-M-46444-2025
(230)

2025:PHHC:152339



::1::

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-46444-2025 (O & M)

Date of decision: 03.11.2025

Mohit @ Shera

.... Petitioner

V/s

The State of Haryana

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASJIT SINGH BEDI

Present: Mr. Sanpreet Sandhu, Advocate
and Mr. Vishwajeet, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. T.P. Singh, Sr. DAG, Haryana.

JASJIT SINGH BEDI, J. (Oral)

The prayer in this petition under Section 483 BNSS is for the grant of regular bail to the petitioner in case FIR No.54 dated 20.01.2022 under Sections 120-B, 302, 473, 201, 34 IPC and Sections 25, 27 of the Arms Act (Section 120-B, 473, 201 IPC added later on) registered at Police Station Mahesh Nagar, Ambala District Ambala.

2. The present FIR came to be registered at the instance of Subhash Rana and reads as under:-

Statement of Subhash Rana @ Monu Sio Sh. Surender Singh R/o Village Rajapur, PS. Handesra, Punjab, aged 35 years Mob. No. 9877649596. Stated that I am resident of aforesaid address and I am a matriculate. We are two brothers and I am the elder brother. Mohit Rana is my younger brother. That Mohit Rana had got visa for America and we were making preparations to send him abroad. Today, my brother along with his friend namely Vishal @ Bhola S/o Swaran Kumar, R/o House No. 2980, Kachha Bazaar, Ambala Cantt. had reached the cut of DAV River School (Kardhan Khojipur turn) after



crossing Vishvas Motors in his car bearing registration No. PB-65-AU-8688 mark Hyundai Verna color black. As he reached there, one white car mark Eco Sports came there from the back side from the side of Tangri River from Ambala Cantt. There were 4-5 boys sitting in the car. From the left side of the car, two boys alighted and they were having pistols in their hands. As soon as they alighted from the car, they started firing indiscriminately upon my brother Mohit Rana and his friend Vishal @ Bhola That my brother had received 20-25 gunshot injuries on his head, chest, right leg and ribs and his friend also sustains gunshot injuries. That the unknown assailants ran away from the spot after causing injuries in the same car. After some time, I along with my cousin brother namely Sahil S/o Balinder reached there after receiving information about a incident. We took my brother and his friend Vishal Bhola to Civil Hospital, Ambala Cantt. with the help of passerby. The doctor at Civil Hospital declared my brother as brought dead after examining him and referred Vishal @ Bhola to P.G.I. Chandigarh for treatment. That those unknown assailants had caused gunshot injuries to my brother Mohit Rana and his friend Vishal @ Bhola and murdered my brother Mohit Rana on account of previous enmity. Strict legal action may kindly be taken against those unknown assailants. Sd/- Subhash Rana,

3. During the course of investigation, on 23.01.2022 co-accused/Shamsher Singh @ Monu Rana was arrested and suffered his disclosure statement admitting the commission of the offence in question alongwith other accused. The investigation proceeded and during the course of the same, the investigating agency found the culpability of Akash @ Gitti and Amit @ Mita. They were found to have been arrested in FIR No.38 dated 14.02.2022 and in FIR No. 37 dated 14.02.2022 of Special Cell, Delhi



and were confined in Tihar Jail, New Delhi. They were taken on production warrants and produced before the Illaqa Magistrate. They admitted that they had committed the present crime on the asking and connivance of Shamsheer Singh @ Monu Rana, Kala Rana and Goldy Brar, as Shamsheer Singh @ Monu Rana and his co-accused/Kala Rana being the members of the same gang had been sentenced to life imprisonment on the statement of Mohit Rana one of the deceased in the present case. They both disclosed that Mohit @ Shera (petitioner) was one of the conspirators.

4. During the course of investigation, Varinder Partap @ Kala Rana was arrested. On interrogation, he disclosed that Mohit @ Shera in connivance with Akash @ Gitti and Amit @ Mita alongwith one Monu @ Chotta and Jitender @ Jitu Baniani were the other accused and shooters were arranged to commit the murder of Mohit Rana.

5. Mohit @ Shera was taken on production warrants from District Jail, Sonapat and arrested in the present case on 06.07.2022. He demarcated the place of meeting with co-accused Akash @ Gitti on 19.01.2022 and also demarcated the place from where he had taken arms i.e. two pistols of 9 mm and cartridges and two pistols of .30 bore and cartridges which had been supplied by co-accused Kala Rana and Goldy Brar to commit the murder of Mohit Rana.

6. On the conclusion of the investigation, the report under Section 173(2) Cr.P.C. (Section 193(2) BNSS) was presented against the accused including the petitioner.

7. The learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner is not named in the FIR but in the disclosure statement of his co-



and is shown to be a conspirator. As he is in custody since 06.07.2022 but none of the 47 prosecution witnesses has been examined so far, the Trial of the present case is not likely to be concluded anytime soon and therefore, he is entitled to the concession of bail.

8. The learned counsel for the State, on the other hand, has filed a reply dated 31.10.2025 which is taken on record. While referring to the said reply, he contends that it is a case of a double murder on account of gang warfare. The petitioner is one of the conspirators who had provided weapons to the shooters, namely, Akash @ Gitti and Amit @ Mita at the instance of Goldy Brar and Kala Rana. He is a serial offender with multiple other cases registered against him. The nature of the allegations levelled against the petitioner as also his antecedents do not entitle him to the concession of bail.

9. I have heard the learned counsel for the parties.

10. Para 30 of the State reply dated 31.10.2025 is reproduced as under:-

30. That as far as role of the accused-petitioner is concerned, the accused-petitioner, he in connivance with co-accused persons has hatched a criminal conspiracy of the murder of deceased Mohit Rana, hence committed serious and grave offence, which effect the general public and society at large hence, not entitled for regular bail as the accused-petitioner may flew from legal punishment. So, the State of Haryana will be prejudiced in the event, if, the accused-petitioner is released on regular bail, he may tamper with evidence and may threaten the prosecution witnesses as witnesses are yet to be examined or may flee away from the legal punishment. It is the prime duty of the State to make sincere efforts to provide justice to their citizens; hence, in this case, the accused-petitioner is liable to



be prosecuted as per the provisions of law laid down for such purposes. Therefore, it is humbly and respectfully submitted before this Hon'ble Court that the present petition of the accused-petitioner may kindly be dismissed being devoid of merits. Also, 12 other cases of similar nature are already registered against the petitioner at different police stations in different states which shows that he is habitual offender. Henceforth, the accused-petitioner is not entitled to the concession of regular bail.

11. A perusal of the evidence on record would reveal that, though, the petitioner is not named in the FIR, during the course of investigation, the other accused alongwith the petitioner came to be nominated as accused. He is one of the main conspirators in the commission of the instant offence wherein two persons have lost their lives. The weapons of offence were delivered by the petitioner.

12. His Criminal antecedents would show that he is a serial offender. The details of the cases in which the petitioner has been found to be involved are reproduced as under:-

Sr. No.	FIR No./Date	Offences Under Sections	Police Station	Status
1.	200/20.09.2012	302, 307 IPC and 25 of the Arms Act	Sadar Gohana	PC 11.01.2013
2.	143/30.04.2016	307 & 120-B IPC and 25 of the Arms Act	Sadar Gohana	Convicted on 21.08.2018 for 07 years
3.	223/02.07.2016	25 of the Arms Act	Sadar Gohana	PC 14.09.2016
4.	238/10.08.2019	307 & 34 IPC and 25 of the Arms Act	Sadar Gohana	PC 11.10.2019



5.	139/10.10.2012	307, 452 & 34 IPC and 25 of the Arms Act	Mohana District Sonipat	PC 13.01.2013
6.	436/27.11.2012	307 IPC	Sadar Sonipat	PC 26.12.2012
7.	351/12.09.2012	302 IPC	Ghanaur District Sonipat	PC 26.02.2013
8.	183/13.05.2016	393, 397 IPC	Murthal District Sonipat	PC 02.09.2016
9.	25/16.01.2018	285, 34 IPC and 25 of the Arms Act	Mohana District Sonipat	PC 05.05.2018
10.	179/03.05.2019	420, 120-B IPC and 25 Arms Act	Baldev Nagar, District Ambala	PC 20.12.2019
11.	188/11.10.2011	302, 324 IPC	Sadar Gohana	Acquitted on 12.04.2012
12.	81/08.02.2022	148, 149, 323, 379-B, 367, 307, 34 IPC and 25 Arms Act	Sadar Gohana	Arrest pending

13. Keeping in view the fact that the petitioner is one of the prime accused in the murder of Mohit Rana and Vishal @ Bhola having provided the weapons to the assailants as also his criminal antecedents, he is not entitled to the concession of bail, moreso, when vide order dated 30.08.2024 (Annexure P-10), this Court had dismissed his earlier bail petition vide a detailed order.

14. In view of the above, I find no merit in the present petition and the same stands dismissed.

(JASJIT SINGH BEDI)
JUDGE

November 03, 2025

sukhpreet

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

: Yes/No
: Yes/No