

215/1 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

2025.PHHC.168504



CRM-M-46587-2025 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 04.12.2025

HET RAM @ HETU ... PETITIONER
VERSUS
STATE OF HARYANA ... RESPONDENT

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE H.S.GREWAL

Present:- Mr. K.S.Siwach, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Ashwani Bhatia, AAG Haryana.

H.S. GREWAL, J. (ORAL)

1. The petitioner is seeking regular bail under Section 483 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita (BNSS) in FIR No.182 dated 06.07.2024, under Section 15 of Narcotic Drugs and Psychotropic Substances Act, 1985 (Section 27-A of NDPS Act added later on) registered at Police Station City Ratia, District Fatehabad.

2. The case of the prosecution is that co-accused Subhash Chand was apprehended with 1Kg of poppy husk and he named Het Ram @ Hetu, the present petitioner as an accused from whom he had purchased the said contraband.

3. A custody certificate dated 03.12.2025 filed by the State counsel has been taken on record.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner has been falsely implicated in the present case. The petitioner is in custody for a period of 06 months and 26 days.

5. Learned State counsel vehemently opposes the prayer for grant of regular bail to the petitioner on the ground of gravity of allegations. As per the

custody certificate, the petitioner has undergone actual custody for a period of 06 months and 26 days. Out of 21 prosecution witnesses, only one prosecution witness has been examined so far. He further submits that petitioner is involved in 5 more cases.

6. I have heard the learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.

7. The petitioner has been nominated in the present FIR on the basis of the disclosure statement made by the co-accused. The petitioner has undergone actual custody for a period of 06 months and 26 days. Out of 21 prosecution witnesses, only one prosecution witness has been examined so far. The conclusion of trial is likely to take some time and continuous detention of the petitioner would not serve the ends of justice. Keeping in view the facts and circumstances of the present case, this Court deems it a fit case to grant the concession of regular bail to the petitioner during the pendency of the trial.

8. Therefore, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, the instant petition is allowed. The petitioner is ordered to be released on regular bail on his furnishing requisite bail bonds, surety bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court/Duty Magistrate/Chief Judicial Magistrate concerned.

9. It is clarified that if on bail so granted through the instant order the petitioner is found indulging in any other criminal case, it shall be open to the State to seek cancellation of his bail.

04.12.2025

Janki

(H.S.GREWAL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No