



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-45926-2025
DECIDED ON: 22.08.2025**

KAUSHAL AHUJA

.....PETITIONER

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB

.....RESPONDENT

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY VASHISTH.

Present: Mr. Vishal Aggarwal, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Neeraj Madaan, Sr. DAG, Punjab.

SANJAY VASHISTH, J (ORAL)

1. Present petition has been filed by the petitioner, second time, seeking grant of anticipatory bail in case bearing FIR No.87, dated 16.04.2021, under Section(s) 22/61/85 of NDPS Act, registered at Police Station Phagwara, District Kapurthala.

2. It is observed that, awaiting receipt of the FSL report, petitioner was granted interim bail by this Court, vide order dated 02.06.2021, passed in CRM-M-21157-2021.

Subsequently, upon receipt of the FSL report concerning the unlabelled tablets, i.e. 7000 and 3000 in number, along with 150 injections, petitioner was obligated to surrender before the investigating agency. However, instead of doing so, petitioner filed an anticipatory bail petition, i.e. CRM-M-27782-2022, before this Court, which was dismissed as withdrawn, vide order dated 28.07.2022.

Order dated 28.07.2022 passed in CRM-M-27782-2022 says

as under:-

*“Present:- Mr. Prateek Gupta, Advocate and
Mr. Rahul Suri, Advocate
for the petitioner.*

Mr. M.S.Nagra, AAG, Punjab.

SURESHWAR THAKUR , J. (ORAL)

- 1. The learned counsel for the petitioner seeks leave to withdraw the present petition.*
- 2. Leave granted.*
- 3. Dismissed as withdrawn.*
- 4. However, liberty is reserved to the petitioner to canvass all the permissible exculpatory pleas, before the learned trial Judge concerned. Moreover, liberty is also reserved to the petitioner to yet prefer a petition under Section 439 of the Cr.P.C., before the jurisdictionally empowered Court.”*

3. Present petition has been filed by the petitioner, seeking anticipatory bail in FIR No.87, dated 16.04.2021 (supra), for the second time.

4. This Court finds that the earlier petition filed by petitioner was dismissed as withdrawn, and ever since, the receipt of the FSL report, petitioner has been avoiding joining the process of law.

5. In view of the above, no ground is made out for entertaining the present second anticipatory bail petition. Accordingly, present petition stands dismissed.

(SANJAY VASHISTH)
JUDGE

22.08.2025

Lavisha

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>