



214-I

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-46005-2025

Date of Decision: 27.10.2025

AMANDEEP KAUR

..... Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB

..... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE YASHVIR SINGH RATHOR

Present : Mr. Vipin Mahajan, Sr. Advocate with
Ms. Tejinder Kaur, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Gorav Kathuria, DAG Punjab
assisted by S.I. Major Singh.

YASHVIR SINGH RATHOR, J. (Oral)

1. Prayer in this petition under Section 482 of Bhartiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 is for grant of anticipatory bail in case GD No.44, dated 24.07.2025, registered at Police Station Ghuman, Police, District Batala, District Gurdaspur, Punjab (Annexure P-1), under Sections 115(2), 118(2) of BNS, 2023 in FIR No.128 dated 22.07.2025, under Sections 115(2), 118(1) and 118(2) of BNS, 2023.

2. Status report by way of affidavit dated 13.10.2025 of Harish Behal, PPS, Deputy Superintendent of Police, Sub Division Sri Hargobindpur, Police District Batala filed in the Registry on behalf of the respondent-State is taken on record. Registry is directed to tag the same at an appropriate place.

3. On 22.08.2025, the following order was passed:-

“1. Prayer in this petition under Section 482 of BNSS, 2023 is for grant of anticipatory bail in case GD No.44,



dated 24.07.2025, registered at Police Station Ghuman, Police, District Batala, District Gurdaspur, Punjab (Annexure P-1), under Sections 115(2), 118(2) of BNS, 2023 in FIR No.128 dated 22.07.2025, under Sections 115(2), 118(1) and 118(2) of BNS, 2023.

2. Notice of motion.

3. Mr. Gorav Kathuria, DAG Punjab accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State. Both the parties have been heard and material on file has been perused.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner contended that the petitioner had lodged FIR No.128 dated 22.07.2025, under Sections 115(2), 118(1) and 118(2) of BNS, 2023 against the accused party out of which, the complainant in GD No.44, dated 24.07.2025 is one of the accused. The petitioner has suffered grievous injuries at the hands of the opposite party. Now the opposite party has got registered cross version vide GD No.44, dated 24.07.2025 after a delay of 7 days. Infact, the opposite party was the aggressor and true version has been suppressed. Both the parties have suffered grievous injuries including the petitioner. Learned counsel further contended that as to who amongst them was aggressor shall be determined after the evidence is led before the Trial Court. Learned counsel contended that petitioner is ready to join the investigation. Nothing is to be recovered from her possession and benefit of anticipatory bail be extended in her favour.

5. On the other hand, learned State counsel has opposed the bail and argued that weapon of offence is yet to be recovered and in view of gravity of offence, the petitioner does not deserve the concession of anticipatory bail.

*6. Adjourned to **27.10.2025** for filing status report. Meanwhile, the petitioner is directed to join the investigation and in the event of her arrest, she shall be admitted to ad-interim bail on furnishing bail bonds to the satisfaction of the Arresting/Investigating Officer. However, the petitioner*



shall abide by the terms and conditions as envisaged under Section 482(2) BNSS/438(2) Cr.P.C.”

4. Today, on instructions from S.I. Major Singh, learned State counsel has informed this Court that the petitioner has joined the investigation, in compliance of the order dated 22.08.2025 and is no longer required for further investigation.

3. In view of the aforesaid, the order dated 22.08.2025, whereby the petitioner was granted interim anticipatory bail, is hereby made absolute. However, he shall continue to join investigation, if and so required by the Investigating Officer.

4. Disposed of.

5. Pending misc application (s), if any, shall also stand disposed of.

(YASHVIR SINGH RATHOR)
JUDGE

27.10.2025
Ali

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No