



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

111

CRWP-9034-2025

Date of decision: 21.08.2025

Jyoti and another

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE AARADHNA SAWHNEY

Present : Mr. A.K. Gupta, Advocate for the petitioners.

AARADHNA SAWHNEY, J.(ORAL)

1. Petitioners have been filed the present petition praying for issuing appropriate direction to official respondents to protect their life and liberty, which is at stake on account of the fact that they are in same sex live-in-relationship.

2. The case that has been set up by petitioners is that they have known each other since 2014. Initially, they were good friends but subsequently they became emotionally attached to each other. Family members of petitioner No.1 started looking for the groom for her, which is when, both the petitioners made their relationship public and open.

Now apprehending threat from their respective parents, petitioners have filed the present petition. Copy of the representation dated 17.08.2025 (Annexure P-4) appended alongwith the petition, which has not been decided till date.

Learned counsel submits that both the petitioners are willing to appear before the Superintendent of Police, Yamuna Nagar, who shall be directed to decide the representation dated 17.08.2025 (Annexure P-4), in a time bound manner.



3. Notice of motion.

4. On the asking of the Court, Ms. Shweta Nahata, DAG, Haryana accepts notice on behalf of respondent Nos.1 to 3 and has no objection in deciding the representation filed on behalf of the petitioners.

5. Without commenting upon the merits of the case, as well as the nature of relationship between the petitioners, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to the petitioners to appear before the Superintendent of Police, Yamuna Nagar/Officiating Incharge at about 04:00 P.M. on 22.08.2025, who shall decide the representation dated 17.08.2025 (Annexure P-4) within a period of two days. In case, it is found that there is a genuine threat to the lives and liberty of the petitioners, then necessary steps warranted under law be taken at the earliest, so as to ensure that no harm is caused to the petitioners.

6. However, this direction will not validate the live-in status between the petitioners and will have no effect on any civil or criminal action, which would be initiated in the matter in accordance with law.

(AARADHNA SAWHNEY)
JUDGE

21.08.2025

Hemant

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes / No
Whether reportable	:	Yes / No