

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

106

2025:PHHC:110201



CRWP No. 9048 of 2025 (O&M)
DECIDED ON: 21st August, 2025

Swati and another

.....PETITIONERS

VERSUS

The State of Haryana

.....RESPONDENT

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE [AARADHNA SAWHNEY](#).

Present: Mr. Aayush, Advocate
for the petitioners.

[AARADHNA SAWHNEY, J \(ORAL\)](#)

Apprehending danger to their life and liberty, both the petitioners have filed the present petition with a prayer to direct the official respondents to protect their life and liberty from the hands of respondent No. 4 to 8 as they are living in live-in relationship.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that both the petitioners are major, petitioner No.1 is stated to be born on 29.12.1995. Date of birth of petitioner No.2 is 18.10.1990. Copies of their Aadhar Cards have been annexed as Annexures P-1 and P-2. Learned counsel further submits that petitioner No.1 had solemnised marriage with respondent No.4 on 07.11.2016 but no child was born out of the said wedlock. On account of temperamental differences, the petitioner No.1 and respondent No.4 could not live together and decided to part ways.

Learned counsel further submits that a detailed representation dated 11.07.2025 has been moved to Superintendent of Police, Jagadhari, District Yamunanagar, which has not been decided.

3. In so far the present petition is concerned, learned counsel for the petitioners has limited his prayer to direct the official respondents to decide representation dated 11.7.2025 annexed as Annexure P-3 moved by petitioners.

4. Without commenting upon the merits of the case and in view of the limited prayer made by learned counsel for the petitioners, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to the official respondents to decide the representation dated 11.07.2025 (Annexure P-3) within a period of two weeks. In case, it is found that there is a genuine threat to the lives and liberty of the petitioners, then necessary steps warranted under law be taken at the earliest, so as to ensure that no harm is caused to the petitioners.

5. However, this direction will not validate the live-in status between the petitioners and will have no effect on any civil or criminal action, which would be initiated in the matter in accordance with law.

6. Disposed of accordingly.

(AARADHNA SAWHNEY)
JUDGE

21st August, 2025
reema

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i>	<i>Yes</i>
<i>Whether reportable</i>	<i>No</i>