

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

2025:PHHC:136850



249

**CRM-M-46510-2025 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 29.09.2025.**

Kamalpreet Singh

...Petitioner.

Versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent.

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE SUKHVINDER KAUR

.....

Present: Mr. Munish Puri, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. P.S. Pandher, AAG, Punjab.

SUKHVINDER KAUR, J. (Oral)

Present petition has been filed under Section 483 of BNSS for grant of regular bail to the petitioner in FIR No.99 dated 25.06.2025, under Section 25 of Arms Act, registered at Police Station Raja Sansi, District Amritsar.

The relevant brief facts of the case are that the petitioner along with co-accused was apprehended on 25.05.2025 and from his possession one country made Pistol was recovered. Co-accused Harpreet Singh was also apprehended and from his possession one country made Pistol along with one live cartridge 315 bore was recovered and both of them could not produce any valid license for the same. Hence, the present FIR has been registered against the petitioner and other persons.

Learned counsel for petitioner contended that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in the present case. The recovery in this case had

already been effected and nothing is to be recovered from the petitioner. He is in custody since 26.06.2025 and therefore, it has been prayed that the petitioner be released on bail.

Learned State counsel has opposed the bail petition while contending that in view of the serious allegations levelled against the petitioner, he does not deserve the concession of bail and the petition be dismissed.

Heard.

The alleged recovery in this case had already been effected and nothing is to be recovered from the petitioner. Challan in this case has already been presented and charges have already been framed. The case is now fixed for prosecution evidence. There are total 10 prosecution witnesses, out of which one prosecution witness has been partly examined. As per status report, no other criminal case has been found to be registered against the petitioner. As per the Custody Certificate placed on record, the petitioner has already undergone custody of 02 months and 30 days. Trial of the case is going on and conclusion of the same is likely to take time. So, no useful purpose would be served by further detaining the petitioner behind the bars.

Accordingly, the present petition is allowed and the petitioner is ordered to be admitted to regular bail, on furnishing adequate bail bonds and surety bonds, to the satisfaction of concerned learned trial Judge/Chief Judicial Magistrate/Duty Magistrate.

However, nothing observed herein above shall be construed to be an expression of opinion on the merits of the case. The observations

recorded above are only for the purpose of deciding the present bail petition.

Pending application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed of.

(SUKHVINDER KAUR)
JUDGE

29.09.2025.

<i>Komal</i>	Whether speaking/reasoned?	:	Yes/ No
	Whether reportable?	:	Yes/ No