

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

109+201

Date of decision: 13th November, 2025

(1) C.W.P. No. 33104 of 2025 (O&M)

2025:PHHC:156895 DB



Commissioner, Navodaya Vidyalaya Samiti and others

..Petitioners

Versus

Sh. Krishan Singh and others

..Respondents

(2) C.W.P. No. 24429-2025 (O&M)

2025:PHHC:156897 DB



Commissioner, Navodaya Vidyalaya Samiti and others

..Petitioners

Versus

Parsa Singh and others

..Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS SURI

**Present: Mr. Barjesh Mittal, Advocate for the petitioners
(Through V.C.)**

Mr. Sandeep Siwatch, Advocate for respondent No.1.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI, J(Oral)

1. At the out set, learned counsel for respondent No.1 points out that by the order impugned passed by the tribunal, more than one original application was decided and the same impugned order was challenged by the petitioner in CWP No.19202 of 2025 which writ petition was dismissed by the Co-ordinate Bench on 14.07.2025, after which a review petition was filed which was also dismissed and now again, the same process is being adopted by filing a fresh writ petition without attaching that the earlier writ petition was dismissed.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner concedes to the said statement of the respondent being correct.
3. Once, the order impugned has already been upheld by the Co-ordinate Bench while passing the order in CWP No. 19202 of 2025, against which order even the review has been dismissed, the present petition is also dismissed in terms of order passed in CWP No. 19202 of 2025.
4. Pending application(s), if any, stands disposed of.
5. Photocopy of this order be placed on the file of another connected case.

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

13th November, 2025
reema

(VIKAS SURI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : *Yes*
Whether reportable : *No*