

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CM-24551-CII-2025 in/and
COCP-5085-2024(O&M)
Date of decision: 12.12.2025

Kamaljeet Singh

... Petitioner

Versus

Anish Dayal Singh

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKRAM AGGARWAL

Present: Mr. Jagdish Singh Mahal, Advocate,
for the applicant-petitioner.

Mr. Amit Sharma, Advocate,
for the respondent.

VIKRAM AGGARWAL, J. (ORAL)

CM-24551-CII-2025


Prayer in the present application preferred under Section 151 CPC is for preponement of hearing in the instant contempt petition from 22.04.2026 to some earlier date.

2. For the reasons mentioned in the application, which is duly supported by an affidavit, the same is allowed. Consequently, the date of hearing fixed in the contempt petition is preponed from 22.04.2026 to today.

COCP-5085-2024(O&M)

3. On 12.09.2025, this Court had passed the following order:-

“Learned counsel for respondent submits that though in compliance of the directions issued vide order dated 05.07.2023 in CWP No. 14495 of 2021, order dated 22.08.2023 was passed, he, on having been pointed out, concedes that there is no reference in the order to the judgment in the case of *Bishamber Dutt Vs. Union of India and others, 2010(2) SCT 621* and also does not contain any reasons as to why the provisions of the Human Immunodeficiency Virus



and Acquired Immune Deficiency Syndrome (Prevention and Control) Act, 2017, would not apply.

He submits that accordingly a fresh order shall be passed within a period of eight weeks affording an opportunity of hearing to the petitioner.

Adjourned to 14.11.2025.”

4. Today, learned counsel for the respondent has produced a copy of office order dated 11.11.2025, pursuant to order dated 12.09.2025 passed by this Court in the present contempt petition, and in compliance of the directions issued by the Writ Court vide order dated 05.07.2023 in CWP-14495-2021. A copy thereof has been given to learned counsel for the petitioner.

5. In response, learned counsel representing the petitioner submits that nothing survives in the instant petition and the petitioner shall, if so advised, avail all such other remedies as shall be admissible in law against the said speaking order.

6. That being so, the contempt petition is disposed of in terms of the statement given by learned counsel for petitioner.

7. Pending application(s), if any, also stands disposed of.

**(VIKRAM AGGARWAL)
JUDGE**

December 12, 2025

Rajan

Whether speaking / reasoned:
Whether Reportable:

Yes/No
Yes/No