

2025:PHHC:021959



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

221

CRM-M No.50013 of 2024
Date of Decision: 14.02.2025

Parmod Bagri ... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana ... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANISHA BATRA

Present: Mr. Kaushal Kalyan, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Rajiv Sidhu, DAG, Haryana,
for the respondent-State.

MANISHA BATRA, J. (Oral)

1. The present petition has been filed by the petitioner under Section 483 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 (For short “BNSS”) seeking regular bail in the FIR mentioned below:-

FIR No.	Dated	Police Station	Sections
66	14.02.2024	Chandimandir, District Panchkula	201, 302 and 34 of IPC

2. As per the prosecution case, on 14.02.2024, dead body of an unknown female was found lying near a vacant plot in the area of IT Park Panchkula. Several injuries were found on the dead body. A case under the aforementioned sections was registered. Investigation proceedings were initiated. The petitioner was nominated as an accused on the basis of secret information and he was apprehended. He was interrogated and

2025:PHHC:021959



suffered a disclosure statement to the effect that he was having friendly relations with the co-accused Abhishek. On the night of 13.02.2024, he had met Abhishek at a hospital in Panchkula. The victim Shivani also met them there. The petitioner along with accused Abhishek and victim Shivani had boarded a car. They had roamed around in the area and had bought liquor from a country liquor vend. They had consumed liquor in their car near hospital. During the course of conversation, the victim Shivani started taunting accused Abhishek about his family members due to which an altercation took place between them. Feeling offended, the accused Abhishek who was sitting on the rear seat of the car put the car seatbelt on the neck of Shivani who was sitting on the front seat and started pressing it. After sometime when Shivani stopped any movement, then considering her to be dead, they took the car towards Ramgarh for the purpose of disposing of her dead body. While on the way, she gained consciousness and told the petitioner that she would lodge a complaint to the police against them. Feeling scared, they took the car towards IT Park. The victim was made to alight from the car. The petitioner caught hold of her limbs and then the co-accused Abhishek struck blows with a steel rod welded with a garari chain kept in the car on the person of victim thereby killing her. They had left the dead body there only. The co-accused was also arrested. Investigation stands concluded.

3. It is argued by learned counsel for the petitioner that he has been falsely implicated in this case. There is no direct evidence against him.

The deceased was having matrimonial discord with her husband and had

2025:PHHC:021959



been living separately. She was a drug addict. The petitioner used to do work of providing loan to needy persons and the deceased was providing customers to him for his business. She had taken the phone number of the petitioner only for business purpose and the said paper slip was recovered from the clothing of the deceased. His disclosure statement is not admissible in evidence. He is in custody since long. The trial is likely to take considerable time. There is no direct evidence to connect him with the murder of the victim. His further detention will not serve any useful purpose. Therefore, it is urged that he deserves to be extended benefit of bail.

4. Status report has already been filed by the respondent-State. Learned Deputy Advocate General, Haryana has argued that keeping in view the gravity of the allegations as levelled against the petitioner, he does not deserve to be extended benefit of bail.

5. I have heard learned counsel for the parties at considerable length and have gone through the record.

6. The petitioner along with the co-accused Abhishek is alleged to have committed the murder of the victim. Trial is going on at a proper pace and there is nothing on record to suggest that there would be any inordinate delay in conclusion thereof. As per the FSL report, the blood found on the clothing of the victim was of the same group which has been detected from the weapons of offence and from the seat covers of the car used at the time of occurrence by the petitioner and the co-accused. Keeping in view the gravity of the allegations as levelled against the petitioner, the quantum of sentence which the conviction may entail and the attendant facts and

2025:PHHC:021959



circumstances but without meaning to make any comment on the merits of the case, I am of the opinion that the petitioner does not deserve to be extended benefit of bail at this stage. Accordingly, the petition is dismissed.

14.02.2025
manju

(MANISHA BATRA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No