



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH.**

CRM-M-45876-2025

Date of Decision:-27.08.2025

Ranjit Singh @ Ranjit Singh Sandhu.

.....Petitioner.

Vs.

State of Punjab.

.....Respondent.

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASJIT SINGH BEDI

Present:- Mr. Imaan Singh Khara, Advocate for the Petitioner.

Mr. Harkanwar Jeet Singh, AAG Punjab.

JASJIT SINGH BEDI, J.(ORAL)

The prayer in this petition under Section 483 of Bhartiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 is for the grant of regular bail to the petitioner in case FIR No.26 dated 18.03.2023 under Sections 279, 186, 506, 336 and 427 IPC and Section 25 of the Arms Act Act, 1959, later on the challan was presented under Sections 279, 353, 186, 506, 336 and 427 IPC and Sections 25(2), 27 & 29 of Arms Act registered at Police Station Khilchian, District Amritsar Rural, Punjab.

2. The brief facts of the case are that on 18.03.2023, police party laid a special picket at T-point of Bhinder Rattangarh and at 9:30 a.m. a vehicle i.e. Mercedes bearing no.HR-72-E1818 came from the side of village Jallupur Khera in which on the conductor seat there was Bhai Amritpal Singh and said vehicle was being driven by Harjit Singh. The second vehicle bearing no.PB-10-FF-0089 marka Endeavour was being



driven by Harminder Singh and on the conductor seat there was Ajaypal Singh and on the back seat there was Baljinder Singh. Along with that, there also came two other vehicles i.e. Endeavour and Creta in which Savreet Singh, Gural Singh @ Pala and Amandeep Singh @ Jyoti. There were other vehicles in the rally in which persons were present. All the above vehicles were signalled to stop by the police but drivers of said vehicles increased the speed of the vehicles and drove the same in a negligent manner, struck the vehicles with the barricades and broke the same and also fired deadly weapons in the air. The occupants of above vehicles obstructed the police in performing their official duty and thereafter all the accused persons ran away towards Khaddor Sahib in their vehicles. During the course of investigation multiple persons came to be nominated as accused.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the co-accused of the petitioner, namely, Manpreet Singh @ Bagga and Gurpreet Singh have been granted the concession of bail vide order dated 18.02.2025 and 31.07.2025 (Annexure P-3 & P-4 respectively). As the petitioner is in custody since 19.04.2023, he is entitled to the similar relief.

4. The learned State counsel on the other hand contends that other than the instant FIR, the petitioner is an accused in 03 other cases. Looking at the nature of the allegations and his criminal antecedents, he is not entitled to the concession of bail. He however, concedes that the petitioner is in custody since 19.04.2023 and that two of his co-accused have been granted the concession of bail.

5. I have heard the learned counsel for the parties.

6. The veracity of the prosecution case against the petitioner and his co-accused shall be adjudicated upon during the course of the trial. Admittedly, the petitioner is in custody since 19.04.2023 and that his co-



accused have been granted the concession of bail. Therefore, the Trial of the present case is not likely to be concluded anytime soon. Hence his further incarceration is not required.

7. Thus without commenting on the merits of the case, the present petitions are allowed and the petitioner-**Ranjit Singh @ Ranjit Singh Sandhu** son of Sh. Pahara Singh is ordered to be released on bail subject to his furnishing bail bonds and surety bonds to the satisfaction of learned CJM/Duty Magistrate, concerned.

8. The petitioner shall appear before the police station concerned on the first Monday of every month till the conclusion of the trial and inform in writing each time that he is not involved in any other crime other than the cases mentioned in this order.

9. The petitioner (or anyone on his behalf) shall prepare an FDR in the sum of Rs.50,000/- and deposit the same with the Trial Court. The same would be liable to be forfeited as per law in case of the absence of the petitioners from trial without sufficient cause.

10. The petition stands disposed of.

(JASJIT SINGH BEDI)
JUDGE

August 27, 2025
Vinay

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No