



107 (2 cases)  
IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH

1. CRM-M-48983-2024

Navjot Kaur .....Petitioner

versus

State of Punjab and another .....Respondents

2. CRM-M-1360-2025

Jyoti .....Petitioner

versus

State of Punjab ..... Respondent

Date of decision : 28.10.2025

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH BHARDWAJ

Present :- Mr. D.S. Sandhu, Advocate  
for the petitioners.

Mr. J.S. Arora, D.A.G., Punjab.

Mr. Rhythem Bajaj, Advocate  
for respondent No.2/complainant.

RAJESH BHARDWAJ, J. (Oral)

1. By way of this common order, this Court intend to dispose of abovesaid two petitions as they have arisen out of the same FIR.
2. Petitioners have approached this Court by way of present petitions praying for granting them regular bail in case FIR No.96 dated 03.08.2024, under Section 108 of BNS (Section 306 of IPC), registered at Police Station Durgi, District Police Commissionerate Ludhiana.
3. Succinctly the facts of the case are that the FIR was lodged on the statement of complainant, namely, Dipinder Singh @ Sunny. It was



alleged that his mother Kamaljit Kaur died in 2018, his father Daljit Singh (deceased) came in contact with a lady, namely, Paramjit Kaur in the year 2021 and both of them started living together as husband and wife. In April, 2024 his father told him that Paramjit Kaur, her son Jagsir Singh, her daughter-in-law Jyoti Kaur and her granddaughter Navjot Kaur, used to harass him and these persons were demanding property and blackmailing his father repeatedly. The complainant shifted his father to a PG accommodation in Room No.4, near Shiv Mandir Guru Gian Vihar, Jawadi, Ludhiana, where his father started living alone. His father had told him that all these persons are blackmailing him and have filed false Court cases against him. On 02.08.2024, he received a telephonic call from a boy, who used to deliver meal to his father and told him that his father was not opening the door. He rushed to the said place and on opening the door found his father having committed suicide by shooting himself with his licensed revolver. It was alleged that accused Paramjit Kaur, her son Jagsir Singh, her daughter-in-law Jyoti Kaur and her granddaughter Navjot Kaur used to harass his father and thus compelled him to commit suicide. The request was made to take the legal action against the culprits. On registration of FIR, investigation commenced. Petitioners were arrested on 03.08.2025 and 04.08.2024, respectively. Petitioners approached the learned Judge, Special Court, Ludhiana, praying for grant of bail, however, finding no merit, the same were declined after hearing both the sides by Learned Judge, Special Court, Ludhiana vide orders dated 10.09.2024 and 14.10.2024, respectively. Aggrieved by the same, petitioners are before this Court praying for grant of bail by way of filing of present petition.



4. Learned counsel for the petitioners have contended that the petitioners have been falsely implicated in the present case. It has been submitted that as per the facts and circumstances of the present case, it is apparent that the allegations were primarily against Paramjit Kaur with whom the father of the complainant used to live after the death of his wife. He submits that the petitioners have been implicated in the present case being the daughter-in-law and granddaughter of Paramjit Kaur. He submits that from the facts and circumstances the offence under Section 108 BNS read with Section 45 BNS (earlier 306 IPC read with Section 107 IPC) i.e. instigation is not even made out. He submits that the petitioners have no criminal antecedents and they are behind bars from last more than 01 year. He submits that till date the prosecution has not examined even a single witness and thus fundamental right speedy trial of the petitioners is also defeated. He, thus, submit that in the overall facts and circumstances, the petitioners deserve to be granted bail.

5. Learned counsel for respondent No.2/complainant opposed the submissions made on behalf of the petitioners.

6. Learned State counsel, on instructions, has opposed the submissions made by the counsel for the petitioners and submits that there are specific allegations against the petitioners and co-accused. He submits that the deceased was used to be harassed by the petitioners. Time and again he complained regarding the same to his son i.e. the complainant. He thus, submits that the allegations that offence under Section 108 BNS i.e. of instigation is only against the petitioners. He further submits that deceased had left a suicide note and petitioners were named in the suicide note. He, on instructions, has submitted that out of total 17 prosecution witnesses, only 01 witness has been examined so far. He has produced the



custody certificates of the petitioners on record.

7. On hearing counsel for the parties and perusing the record, it is deciphered that the petitioners have been arrested in the present case on 03.08.2024 and 04.08.2024, respectively. The allegations against them are to the effect that they used to harass the deceased which compelled him to commit suicide. As per custody certificate, petitioners have suffered an incarceration of 01 year, 02 months and 22 days days as on 27.10.2025. It further reflects that the petitioners have no criminal antecedents. As submitted before this Court, out of total 17 witnesses, only 01 witness has been examined till date.

8. Whether the offence under Section 108 BNS is made out is debatable and would be assessed only after the conclusion of the trial and on the appreciation of evidence to be led by both the parties before the trial Court.

9. This Court would refrain itself from commenting anything on the merits of the case. Thus, keeping in view the overall facts and circumstances of the case, this Court is of the opinion that learned counsel for the petitioners succeed in making out a case for grant of regular bail. Accordingly, both the petitions are allowed. Petitioners are ordered to be released on bail on their furnishing bail/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the concerned Trial Court/Duty Magistrate. Nothing said herein shall be treated as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

( RAJESH BHARDWAJ )  
JUDGE

28.10.2025  
*ps-I*

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No  
Whether reportable : Yes/No