



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M No.49633 of 2024
Date of decision: 13th January, 2025

Harpal Singh @ Puppy

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANJARI NEHRU KAUL

Present: Mr. Aditya Sharma, Advocate for
Mr. Abhinav Gupta, Advocate for the petitioner.
Mr. H.S. Deol, Sr. Dy. Advocate General, Punjab
for the respondent/State.

MANJARI NEHRU KAUL, J. (ORAL)

1. The petitioner is seeking the concession of bail under Section 482 BNSS in case FIR No.70 dated 30.08.2024 under Sections 420/120-B/34 of the IPC and Section 13 of the Punjab Prevention of Human Smuggling Act, registered at Police Station Sanaur, District Patiala.

2. On the last date of hearing, i.e. 14.10.2024, while noticing the following submissions made by learned counsel for the petitioner, this Court had granted the concession of interim bail to the petitioner and asked him to join investigation.

“At the outset, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that after the registration of the FIR in question the misunderstanding between the parties stands removed and the complainant has arrived at an amicable settlement with the petitioner on 03.10.2024. In the circumstances, custodial interrogation of the petitioner would not be required.”

Notice of motion.

On asking of the Court, Mr. Navdeep Singh, DAG, Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State. At this stage, Mr. Vipul Sachdeva, Advocate has entered appearance and filed his power of attorney on behalf of the complainant which is taken on record. He does not dispute the submissions made by the counsel opposite and also does not oppose the prayer made for extending the concession of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.”

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that in compliance of the order dated 14.10.2024, the petitioner has joined investigation and cooperated with the investigating agency.
4. Learned State counsel, on instructions, does not dispute the factum of the petitioner having joined investigation and cooperated with the investigating agency. He, on further instructions submits that the petitioner is not required for further investigation much less for his custodial interrogation.
5. In the circumstances, the petition is allowed and the interim order dated 14.10.2024 is made absolute subject to the conditions laid down in Section 438(2) Cr.P.C./Section 482(2) BNSS. Needless to say, in case the petitioner misuses the concession of bail granted to him, the State would be at liberty to seek cancellation of the bail granted to him.

(MANJARI NEHRU KAUL)
JUDGE

January 13, 2025
rps

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No